Large-scale structure

Marko Simonović University of Florence

ISAPP 2024: Particle Candidates for Dark Matter

Lecture 3

One loop-matter power spectrum Problems with SPT and EFTofLSS Infrared resummation

Nonlinear solutions

$$\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\tau) = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_1} \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta^D(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{q}_1 - \boldsymbol{q}_2) F_2(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2) \,\delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \tau) \delta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{q}_2, \tau)$$

$$F_2(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2) = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2}{q_1 q_2} \left(\frac{q_1}{q_2} + \frac{q_2}{q_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \frac{(\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2)^2}{q_1^2 q_2^2}$$



Bispectrum

$$\langle \delta_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \tau) \delta_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}_2, \tau) \delta_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta(\boldsymbol{k}_1 + \boldsymbol{k}_2 + \boldsymbol{k}_3) B_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau)$$

$$B_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau) = B_{\rm tree}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau) + B_{\rm 1-loop}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau) + \cdots$$

$$B_{\rm tree}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2, \boldsymbol{k}_3, \tau) = 2F_2(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2) P_{\rm lin}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \tau) P_{\rm lin}(\boldsymbol{k}_2, \tau) + 2 \text{ perms }.$$



Bispectrum



One-loop power spectrum

$$\langle \delta_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k},\tau) \delta_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k}',\tau) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}') P_{\rm nl}(\boldsymbol{k},\tau)$$

$$P_{\rm nl}(k,\tau) = P_{\rm lin}(k,\tau) + P_{\rm 1-loop}(k,\tau) + \cdots$$

$$P_{1-\text{loop}}(k,\tau) = P_{22}(k,\tau) + 2P_{13}(k,\tau)$$



One-loop power spectrum

At redshift zero, $\Delta^2(k) \approx 1$ for $k \approx 0.3 \ h/{
m Mpc}$



The broadband is wrong on all scales, PT does not converge...



The BAO peak is completely wrong...



Why is this happening?

Loops contain UV modes, but the theory is wrong there...

We have no free parameters to absorb this UV dependence!

Our equations of motion must be incomplete/inconsistent

Are we sure that $\Delta^2(k)$ is the only expansion parameter? What else?

Resolving these problems led to a lot of progress in the last ~15 years

Let us take a closer look at the equations of motion

$$\begin{split} \delta' + \nabla_i ((1+\delta)v_i) &= 0 \\ v'_i + \mathcal{H}v_i + v_j \nabla_j v_i &= -\nabla_i \Phi \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \Omega_m(\tau) \,\delta \end{split}$$

Assuming ideal fluid, these equations are correct for $f = \bar{f} + \delta f$

However, we want the EOM for the long-wavelength fields!

We want to split $f = f_l + f_s$ and average over f_s

$$f_l(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, W_R(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}|) f(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \qquad W_R(\mathbf{x}) \sim e^{-\frac{x^2}{2R^2}}$$

The average of product of fields is not the product of average fields

$$(fg)_l = f_l g_l + R^2 \nabla_i f_l \nabla_i g_l + (f_s g_s)_l + \cdots$$

new terms with new free parameters!

Just DM particles in an expanding universe



UV description: collisionless Boltzmann eq. d/dt f(x, p, t) = 0gravity $\nabla^2 \Phi \propto \int d^3 p f(x, p, t)$

Mean free path effectively set by the age of the universe Most of the motion are coherent bulk flows Gravity helps by "gluing" DM particles which form DM halos

This allows to truncate Boltzmann hierarchy

Carrasco, Hertzberg, Senatore (2012)

Expansion parameters: δ , $\partial/k_{\rm NL}$

 $k_{\rm NL} \approx 1/R$

Small-scale DM physics encoded in c_s^2

The same equations for any UV model (DM, fluid, axions...)

Correlation functions in perturbation theory

Carrasco, Hertzberg, Senatore (2012)



$$P_{13}^{\rm UV}(k) = -\frac{61}{630\pi^2} P_{\rm lin}(k) k^2 \int_0^\infty dq P_{\rm lin}(q)$$

 $P_{1-\text{loop}}(k) = P_{22}(k) + P_{13}(k) + 2R^2k^2P_{\text{lin}}(k)$ \uparrow time integral of c_s^2 and Green's functions







Large distance dof: δ_g EoM are fluid-like, including gravity Symmetries, Equivalence Principle Expansion parameters: δ_g , $\partial/k_{\rm NL}$ All "UV" dependence is in a handful of free parameters

Baumann, Nicolis, Senatore, Zaldarriaga (2010) Carrasco, Hertzberg, Senatore (2012) Senatore, Zaldarriaga (2014) Senatore (2014) Mirbabayi, Schmidt, Zaldarriaga (2014) Baldauf, Mirbabay, MS, Zaldarriaga (2015)

On scales larger than $1/k_{\rm NL}$ this is the universal description of galaxy clustering



Addition of counterterms does not solve the issue with the BAO peak What do we do about it?



On large scales:

$$\delta' + \nabla_i v_i = 0$$

How far DM particles move under the influence of gravity?

$$\psi_i = \int_0^\tau d\tau' v_i = -\frac{\nabla_i}{\nabla^2} \delta$$

Typical displacements are large!

$$\langle \psi_i^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int dk P_{\text{lin}}(k, \tau)$$
 very different from $\Delta^2(k)!$
 \uparrow
dominated by the "infrared" modes with $k \sim k_{\text{eq.}}$

What is the effect of these modes on smaller scales?

Just a universal displacement as dictated by the Equivalence Principle



One can re-sum all displacement contributions in PT

What is being resummed?

$$\begin{split} F_{2}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1},\boldsymbol{q}_{2}) &= \frac{5}{7} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{2}}{q_{1}q_{2}} \left(\frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} + \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}}\right) + \frac{2}{7} \frac{(\boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{2})^{2}}{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}} \\ F_{2}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1},\boldsymbol{q}_{2}) \bigg|_{q_{1}\ll q_{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{2}}{q_{1}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ F_{n}(\boldsymbol{q}_{1},\dots,\boldsymbol{q}_{n}) \bigg|_{q_{1}\ll q_{i}} &= \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{2}}{q_{1}^{2}} \cdots \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_{n}}{q_{n}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \end{split}$$

 $\delta(x_i) \rightarrow \delta(x_i + \psi_i)$ in Fourier space becomes: $\delta(k) \rightarrow \delta(k)e^{ik \cdot \psi}$

Displacements do not affect the smooth part of correlation functions

Displacements are observable only in the presence of features!

$$\Sigma_{\Lambda}^2 \approx \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}q P_{\mathrm{lin}}(q) [1 - j_0(q\ell_{\mathrm{BAO}}) + 2j_2(q\ell_{\mathrm{BAO}}) + 2j_2(q\ell_{\mathrm{BAO}})]$$

new parameter



$$2\pi/\ell_{\rm BAO} < q \ll 2\pi/\sigma$$



Senatore, Zaldarriaga (2014) Baldauf, Mirbabayi, MS, Zaldarriaga (2015) Vlah, Seljak, Chu, Feng (2015) Blas, Garny, Ivanov, Sibiryakov (2016) Senatore, Trevisan (2017)

The IR resummed 1-loop power spectrum:

$$\tilde{P}(k) = P_{\text{lin}}^{nw}(k) + P_{1-\text{loop}}^{nw}(k) + e^{-\sum_{\epsilon k}^{2} k^{2}} (1 + \sum_{\epsilon k}^{2} k^{2}) P_{\text{lin}}^{w}(k) + e^{-\sum_{\epsilon k}^{2} k^{2}} P_{1-\text{loop}}^{w}(k)$$

PT in tidal fields, nonperturbative in displacements



IR resummed 2-loop power spectrum

1% precision up to $k \approx 0.25 \ h/{
m Mpc}$ Perfect description of the BAO peak



The same principles hold for galaxies