

# Listening for ultra-heavy DM with underwater acoustic detectors

**Damon Cleaver,** Christopher McCabe and Ciaran A.J. O'Hare ISAPP 2024, 26/06/24

# Ultra-heavy Dark Matter

- Ultra-heavy dark matter is necessarily composite (if thermally produced) due to s-wave unitarity
- Many different models for UHDM
	- Nuggets, Blobs, WIMPonium, Q-Balls etc…
- Is there a nice model-independent way to treat them?
- Answer: **Yes** (for some parts of parameter space)



#### Macros

- Consider parameters of models where:
	- The DM is Planck-mass or larger
	- DM Radius  $R_\chi$  much larger than interaction length scale
	- Geometric cross section dominate
	- Parameterise the interaction in terms of  $R_\chi \to \texttt{set}$  **Interaction range** by the theory -> make experimental statements about multiple models!

$$
\text{ is i.e. } \sigma_{\chi} \approx \pi R_{\chi}^2
$$





# Macro Direct Detection

• Macro's often parameterised in grams (g)

• Need a *very* large detector (or very long integration time) to have significant number of events.

. DM Flux: 
$$
\phi_{\chi} \approx 6 \left( \frac{1 \text{ g}}{m_{\chi}} \right) \text{ km}^{-1}
$$

 $-2 \text{ yr}$ <sup>-1</sup>

## Macro Kinematics

Total energy after traversing path length  $L$  in detector given by (determined by classical scattering):

$$
E_{\chi f}(L) = \frac{1}{2} m_{\chi} v_{\chi, SL}^2 \exp\left(-2\frac{\sigma_{\chi N}}{m_{\chi}} \rho_{\text{med}} L\right)
$$

Differentiating, can find the energy deposition rate into the medium:

$$
\frac{dE}{dL} = -\frac{dE_{\chi}}{dL} = \rho_w \sigma_{\chi N} v_{\chi, \text{SL}}^2 \exp\left(-2\frac{\sigma_{\chi N}}{m_{\chi}} \rho_{\text{med}} L\right)
$$

## Current Constraints



- Annoying "gap" in constraints
- Mica underground too much overburden
- Radar not sensitive enough - not enough ionisation
- **• What phenomena could we use to constrain this region?**

## Acoustic Detection

- Idea: DM is weakly interacting enough to make it through the atmosphere
- Reaches much more dense medium: **the ocean**
- DM deposits energy into the ocean creating pressure waves
- Detect pressure waves using **a large hydrophone array**





# Neutrino Experiments

- Propositions for acoustic neutrino experiments with  $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ km}^3)$  hydrophone arrays in the ocean [Lahmann, 2016]
- Detect UHE neutrinos. Similar number density issues, but similarly high cross section
- Acoustic propagation distance in water much greater than light -> less dense instrumentation required
- Energy deposition comes from particle showers

$$
\nabla^2 P(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{1}{c_s^2} \frac{\partial^2 P(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t^2}
$$
  
Acoustic pressure



#### Pressure waves created from **thermo-acoustic heating.**

# What is the signal?

**[Learned, 1979]**

General solution to this equation given by:

$$
P(\vec{r},t)=\frac{\alpha}{4\pi C_p}\int_V\frac{dV'}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r'}|}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q\left(\vec{r'},t'\right),\;\;t'=t-\frac{|\vec{r}-\vec{r'}|}{c_s},
$$

## Signal and Noise Characteristics





- The signal is **broadband**
- After transmission losses**, signal power greatest in 10-30kHz band**
- Dominant ambient noise in this band is **sea-state noise (surface agitation from wind)**

# What we require

DM signal is:

- Larger than the typical hydrophone threshold (approx 1  $\mu$ Pa @ 15kHz) after propagation losses.
- Carries a minimum SNR to sea-state noise (conservatively defaulted to 10).
- Each hydrophone signal can be coherently summed to integrate the signal i.e. a  $\sqrt{N_{\text{hydro}}}$  enhancement to the signal.

## **Preliminary** Sensitivities

- Assuming proposed acoustic neutrino experiment parameters, **could constrain the gap!**
- Complementary to Humans, Mica, Ohya and Cosmological Bounds



#### Punchline

#### **Future acoustic neutrino experiments could detect (or constrain) ultra-heavy dark matter**



### Any Questions

# Backup Slides

### Decibel Formalism

 $SPL = 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{P}{P_{\text{ref}}}\right)$ 

#### $P_{\text{ref}} = 1 \mu \text{Pa}$

The pressure wave will have some **frequency dependent absorption** due to the chemical content of the sea water

Convenient to use a **decibel formalism,** as this is most often used in acoustics. Sound pressure level:

## Transmission Loss Model

The Transmission loss over a propagation distance r, is given by a frequency dependent parameter *α*

#### $TL = \alpha r$

Dependent on the temperature T, salinity S, depth D and pH. Frequency here in kHz. **After transmission losses, DM signal peaks at ~15kHz**

$$
\alpha = 0.106 \frac{f_1 f^2}{f^2 + f_1^2} e^{(\text{pH}-8)/0.56} + 0.52 \left(1 + \frac{T}{43}\right) \left(\frac{S}{35}\right) \frac{f_2 f^2}{f^2 + f_2^2} e^{-D/6} + 0.00049 f^2 e^{-(T/27+D/17)},
$$

**[Ainslie, McColm 1998]**

### Sea State Noise

The dominant background noise source 10-100 kHz band is **sea state noise (surface agitation due to wind etc).** Parameterised by Knudsen curves:

#### $\text{NL}(f, n_s) = n_s$

There will also be transient noise sources from ocean wildlife e.g. dolphins and sperm wales. **Assume differentiable from DM signal** using algorithms being developed for neutrino detection.

$$
-10\log_{10}\left(f^{-5/3}\right)
$$

# Yearly and Daily Modulations



