## Two-loop integrals for top-pair production plus a W boson



Mattia Pozzoli Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Bologna [arXiv:2504.13011] In collaboration with M. Becchetti, D. Canko, V. Chestnov, T. Peraro and S. Zoia



Theory and Phenomenology of Fundamental Interactions

UNIVERSITY AND INFN · BOLOGNA







### Motivation

- $t\bar{t}W$  production is relevant for BSM searches and constitutes a significant background for  $t\bar{t}H$  and  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production in Standard Model
- Theoretical predictions systematically underestimate measured rates [ATLAS 2024 and CMS 2023]. Currently within uncertainties, but experimental precision is set to increase
- NNLO: 2-loop amplitude approximated with soft-W and massification [Buonocore et al. 2023]
- Exact 2-loop amplitude is needed to remove uncertainty of approximation





#### Main bottleneck: 2-loop 5-point scattering amplitudes

Cross sections for  $h_1h_2 \longrightarrow f$ :

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{h_1h_2\to f} = \sum_{i,j=q,\bar{q},g} \iint \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \,\mathcal{G}$$

Partonic cross section:

Amplitude:

$$\mathscr{A} \sim \sum_{i}^{i}$$

 $\mathcal{F}_{i/h_1}(x_1,\mu^2)\mathcal{F}_{j/h_2}(x_2,\mu^2)(\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ij\to f}(\vec{s},\mu^2))$  $\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ij\to f} \sim \left|\mathrm{d}\Phi\left|\mathscr{A}\right|^2\right)$ Feynman Integrals  $F_i(\vec{s};\varepsilon)(G_i(\vec{s};\varepsilon))$ 





## What's the challenge?

#### • Complexity originates from:

- Massive internal propagators
- Five external legs, two different external scales
- Analytic complexity
  - Functions beyond the polylogarithmic case
- Algebraic complexity
  - State of the art calculations: usually localised in the amplitude part of the calculation
  - Here: large expressions also in the differential equations for the integrals



## Kinematics

Momentum conservation:  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 + p_5 = 0$ •  $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = m_t^2$ ,  $p_3^2 = p_5^2 = 0$ ,  $p_4^2 = m_W^2$ • 7 Invariants:  $\vec{x} := \{s_{12}, s_{23}, s_{34}, s_{45}, s_{15}, m_t^2, m_w^2\}$ , with  $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$ 

• Dimensional regularisation:  $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ 

 $\overline{t}(p_1) + t(p_2) + \overline{d}(p_3) + W(p_4) + u(p_5) \longrightarrow 0$ 







$$G_{a_1,\ldots,a_{11}} = \int \mathrm{d}^d k_1 \mathrm{d}^d k_2 \overline{D_1^{a_1}}$$

- Sectors: same non-negative exponents
- Top sector: maximum number of non-negative exponents
- Amplitude calculations: express  $k_i \cdot p_j$  and  $k_i \cdot k_j$  in terms of propagators
  - $\implies$  Beyond one-loop we need irreducible scalar products (ISPs). Here: 3 ISPs





$$G_{a_1,...,a_{11}} = \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \frac{1}{D_1^{a_1}}$$

$$D_{1} = k_{1}^{2} - m_{t}^{2}, \quad D_{2} = (k_{1} - p_{2})^{2}$$

$$D_{3} = (k_{1} - p_{23})^{2}, \quad D_{4} = (k_{1} - p_{234})^{2}$$

$$D_{5} = k_{2}^{2} - m_{t}^{2}, \quad D_{6} = (k_{2} - p_{1})^{2}$$

$$D_{7} = (k_{2} + p_{234})^{2}, \quad D_{8} = (k_{1} + k_{2})^{2}$$

$$D_{9} = (k_{1} + p_{1})^{2} - m_{t}^{2}, \quad D_{10} = (k_{2} + p_{2})^{2}$$

$$D_{11} = (k_{2} + p_{23})^{2} - m_{t}^{2}$$
ISPs

# $\frac{1}{a_{1}\cdots D_{11}^{a_{11}}}, \quad (a_{1}, \dots, a_{11}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{11}$



 $_{-}p_{3}$ 







## ttWintegral families





Family  $F_3$ 



## **IBPs and reduction to Master Integrals**

• Feynman integrals satisfy linear relations: integration by part identities (IBPs) [Chetyrkin, Tkachov '81]

$$0 = \int d^{d}k_{1}d^{d}k_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{l}^{\mu}} \frac{v^{\mu}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots D_{11}^{a_{11}}}, \quad v^{\mu} \in \{k_{j}^{\mu}, p_{j}^{\mu}\}$$
grals
$$(\vec{x}; \varepsilon)G_{\vec{a}_{k}}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) = 0 \Longrightarrow G_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{j} c_{\vec{a},j}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) I_{j}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon)$$
Master Integr
(MIs)  $\vec{I}(\vec{x})$ 

 $\bigcirc$  Reduction to master

$$0 = \int d^{d}k_{1}d^{d}k_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{l}^{\mu}} \frac{v^{\mu}}{D_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots D_{11}^{a_{11}}}, \quad v^{\mu} \in \{k_{j}^{\mu}, p_{j}^{\mu}\}$$
  
er integrals  
$$\sum_{\vec{a}_{k}} c_{\vec{a}_{k}}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) G_{\vec{a}_{k}}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) = 0 \Longrightarrow G_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) = \sum_{j} c_{\vec{a},j}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon) I_{j}(\vec{x}; \varepsilon)$$
  
Master Integrals  
(MIs)  $\vec{I}(\vec{x})$ 

• Laporta algorithm: IBPs generated for some seeding [Laporta 2000]

• Finite Fields techniques [von Manteuffel, Schabinger 2014; Peraro 2016] to tackle algebraic complexity

of IBPs

• NeatIBP [Wu et al. 2023] and FiniteFlow [Peraro 2019] to generate and solve an optimised system











## ttWintegral families



Family  $F_2$ : 122 MIs

Family  $F_3$ : 131 MIs





- Evaluate rational functions at numerical rational points  $({p}, \epsilon)$  modulo prime number  $\longrightarrow$  finite field/modular arithmetic
- Perform all intermediate rational operations numerically
- Reconstruct the analytic expression of the final result from multiple numerical evaluations
- Mathematica/C++ framework **FiniteFlow** [Peraro 2019]

In[1]:= << FiniteFlow`;</pre>

In[2]:= prime = FFPrimeNo[1]

Out[2]= 9223372036854775643

In[3]:= c1 = FFRatMod[3/4, prime]
c2 = FFRatMod[-7, prime]

Out[3]= 6917 529 027 641 081 733

Out[4]= 9 223 372 036 854 775 636

In[5]:= FFRatRec[c1 \* c2, prime]

Out[5]=  $-\frac{21}{4}$ 



11

## Method of differential equations

[Kotikov '91; Bern, Dixon, Kosower '94; Gehrmann, Remiddi 2000]

Using IBPs we can construct linear differential equations (DEs) for the MIs

$$\Longrightarrow \partial_{\xi} \vec{I}(\vec{x})$$

- Many strategies to solve the differential equation. Our choice: semi-numerical approach using DiffExp [Hidding 2020]
  - Suitable for very general problems
  - The implementation supports only rational functions and simple square roots

 $\forall \xi \in \vec{x} : \quad \partial_{\xi} I_i(\vec{x};\varepsilon) = \sum_{\vec{a}} c_{i,\vec{a}}(\vec{x};\varepsilon) G_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x};\varepsilon)$  $\implies \partial_{\xi} \vec{I}(\vec{x};\varepsilon) = B_{\xi}(\vec{x};\varepsilon) \cdot \vec{I}(\vec{x};\varepsilon)$ **IBP** reduction





## What is a good choice of basis of MIs?

The basis of MIs is not unique. A good choice of basis can greatly simplify the DEs 

• [Henn 2014]: DEs in canonical form (no general algorithm)

- In the best understood cases the one-forms are logarithmic  $d\tilde{A}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i} a_i \, d\log(W_i(\vec{x}))$ 
  - *\varepsilon* dependence factorises: solution at each order depends only on previous order
  - amplitude
  - Well-established techniques to handle the solution of the DEs



Full control over linear relations through iterated integrals representation of the solution  $\implies$ Construction of a minimal basis of special functions, which simplifies the representation of the



Letters



# How do we construct a canonical basis?



#### Cachazo, Trnka 2012] **Dlog-integrands and leading singularities**

• Conjecture: integrals with a loop-integrand with at most simple poles and a constant leading singularity are good MIs



• Commonly: rational functions and simple square roots



15

## Beyond the *dlog*-case: elliptic integrals

Ouring the computation of the leading singularity, we can also bump into an elliptic curve

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\sqrt{\mathscr{P}_4(z)}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\log(\ldots), \qquad \mathscr{P}_4(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2)(z - a_3)(z - a_4)$$

• The leading singularity contains elliptic functions  $\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_4(z)}} \propto K(.$ 

• Transcendental functions are needed to put the differential equation in canonical form

- Progress on general strategy in recent years (see e.g. [Görges et al. 2023])
- Still no general method to efficiently evaluate these functions

elliptic functions  $\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_4(z)}} \propto K(...)$ Elliptic integral of the first kind



#### The "simple" $t\bar{t}W$ elliptic curves

- Comparable with known elliptic curves (e.g. [Badger et al. 2024])
- 4-point kinematics  $\Rightarrow$  depend on less than 7 variables
- 3 MIs for each sector
- Elliptic curve of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{4}(z) = (z - m_{t}^{2})(z - 3m_{t}^{2})\mathcal{P}_{2}(z)$$

• The curves are disctinct, as we checked by computing the j-invariant







#### The "monster" *t*t Welliptic curve



 $f^{\dagger} \equiv f|_{r_1 \to -r_1}, \quad r_1 = \sqrt{G(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)}$ 



## Algebraic complexity of the monster curve

- $\mathcal{Q}_4(z)$  has degree 4 in z and degree 14 in  $\vec{x}$ , involves  $r_1$  and 2787 terms
- Obscriminant of the elliptic curve contains a degree 14 polynomial in  $\vec{x}$ 
  - 2547 terms
  - File size is 94 KB
  - Appears in the denominators of the DEs  $\implies$  one of the singularities of the solution
- $\odot \epsilon$ -factorised DEs challenging even with known techniques



## How we deal with elliptics

Aims: obtain a good basis compatible with DiffExp

• Simple  $\varepsilon$ -dependence

- No  $\varepsilon$ -poles in the differential equation
- Maximum degree as low as possible (2 in this case)
- Elliptic MIs finite
  - Poles of the amplitude dictated by tree-level and 1-loop: no elliptic functions • Allows to apply the method of [Badger et al. 2025] to construct a basis of special
  - functions up to the finite part



We allow for a spurious degree-9 polynomial in the denominators

Apparent trade-off between the above criteria and the algebraic complexity:



#### Beyond (?) the *dlog*-case: nested square roots

• For  $t\bar{t}H$  [Febres Cordero et al. 2024] and  $t\bar{t}j$  [Badger et al. 2024] leading singularities involving nested square roots were observed. This is the case also here

$$NR_{\pm} = \sqrt{q_1(\vec{x}) \pm q_2(\vec{x})r_1}, \quad r_1 = \sqrt{G(p_1)}$$
$$NR_{\pm} \xrightarrow{r_1 \to -r_1} NR_{\pm}$$

- Nested square roots are not supported by DiffExp
- Due to the elliptics, the differential equation will not be  $\varepsilon$ -factorised anyway
- $\implies$  keep the differential equation linear in  $\varepsilon$







## Final representation of the differential equation

#### • We selected a basis

- $\varepsilon$  factorised as much as possible
- Linear in *ɛ* for the nested square roc sectors
- Elliptic integrals finite

• Write connection matrix in terms of independent one-forms

 $d\vec{I}(\vec{x};\varepsilon) = dA^{(F)}(\vec{x};\varepsilon) \cdot \vec{I}(\vec{x};\varepsilon), \qquad dA^{(F)}(\vec{x};\varepsilon)$ 

• Linear in  $\varepsilon$  for the nested square root sectors and at most quadratic in the elliptic

$$\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{k} \left[ \sum_{\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^{(F)} d\log(W_{\alpha}(\vec{x})) + \sum_{\beta} d_{k\beta}^{(F)} \omega_{\beta}(\vec{x}) \right]$$



### Some numbers...

	Nested square root sectors	"Simple" elliptic sectors	Monster elliptic sector	# square roots	<b># letters</b>	# one-forms	Dimension one-forms file
Family 1	Yes	2	No	8	101	119	6.7 MB
Family 2	No	0	Yes	11	122	84	311 MB
Family 3	No	0	Yes	12	137	96	316.5 MB



### Some numbers...

	Nested square root sectors	"Simple" elliptic sectors	Monster elliptic sector	# square roots	# letters	# one-forms	Dimension one-forms file	
Family 1	Yes	2	No	8	101	119	6.7 MB	
Family 2	No	0	Yes	11	122	84	311 MB	
Family 3	No	Ο	Yes	12	137	96	316.5 MB	

 $t\bar{t}j$  DEs: < 1 MB





## Numerical checks

#### • DiffExp implementation with in-house path-parametrisation

• Checked against AMFlow at 10 physical phase-space points, to 25 digits accuracy

• We verified that we can integrate between any of these 10 points with DiffExp



## Summary and Outlook

- Basis and differential equation for all the integral families relevant for  $t\bar{t}W$ production at 2-loop at leading color
- Addressed complications arising from nested square roots and elliptic integrals
- Semi-numerical solution using DiffExp
- Next steps
  - 2-loop amplitude 1.
  - 2.  $\varepsilon$ -factorised differential equation





## Summary and Outlook

- Basis and differential equation for all the integral families relevant for  $t\bar{t}W$ production at 2-loop at leading color
- Addressed complications arising from nested square roots and elliptic integrals
- Semi-numerical solution using DiffExp
- Next steps
  - 2-loop amplitude
  - 2.  $\varepsilon$ -factorised differential equation

Thank you!





## Backup slides



## Semi-numerical evaluation

## • Generalised series expansion method [Moriello 2019]: approximate the solution in terms of logs along the integration path



• Work in the physical region: no analytic continuation needed!



## Definitions for elliptic curves

• Cross ratio

• Elliptic integral of the first kind

• Periods of the elliptic curve

$$\omega_1 = 2c_4 \int_{a_2}^{a_3} \frac{dz}{y} = 2K(\lambda), \quad \omega_2 = 2c_4 \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{dz}{y} = 2iK(1-\lambda),$$
  
with  $c_4 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(a_1 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)}$ 



j = 256—

$$\lambda = \frac{(a_1 - a_4)(a_2 - a_3)}{(a_1 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)}$$

$$K(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - \lambda t^2)}}$$

$$\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2$$



