## Polynomial Division and Elimination Theory Over Finite Fields

Giulio Crisanti Domodossola, 15/07/25

Based on upcoming work with Vsevolod Chestnov





## Motivating Example

Consider

$$f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 - (5+2a)x + 1$$

 $p(x) = x^2 - 2x - 1$ 

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$  ?

## Motivating Example

Consider

$$f(x) = x^{3} + ax^{2} - (5 + 2a)x + 1 \qquad p(x) = x^{2} - 2x - 1$$

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

Normal Approach:  $x^* = 1 \pm \sqrt{2} \longrightarrow f(x^*) = (1 \pm \sqrt{2})^3 + a(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^2 - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$ =  $7 \pm 5\sqrt{2} + a(3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}) - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$ = 3 + a

## Motivating Example

Consider

$$f(x) = x^{3} + ax^{2} - (5 + 2a)x + 1 \qquad p(x) = x^{2} - 2x - 1$$

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

Normal Approach: 
$$x^* = 1 \pm \sqrt{2} \longrightarrow f(x^*) = (1 \pm \sqrt{2})^3 + a(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^2 - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$$
  
=  $7 \pm 5\sqrt{2} + a(3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}) - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$   
=  $3 + a$   
Rational Expression!

What if this example was more complicated (quintics and beyond)? Is there a fully rational way to obtain this result? Yes! — Polynomial division

## Motivating Example

Consider

$$f(x) = x^{3} + ax^{2} - (5 + 2a)x + 1 \qquad p(x) = x^{2} - 2x - 1$$

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

Normal Approach: 
$$x^* = 1 \pm \sqrt{2} \longrightarrow f(x^*) = (1 \pm \sqrt{2})^3 + a(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^2 - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$$
  
=  $7 \pm 5\sqrt{2} + a(3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}) - (5 + 2a)(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) + 1$   
=  $3 + a$   
Rational Expression!

What if this example was more complicated (quintics and beyond)? Is there a fully rational way to obtain this result? Yes! — Polynomial division

 $f(x) = 3 + a \mod p(x)$ 

Philosophy: Polynomial division can often solve problems without *explicitly* needing to solve polynomial systems

## **Quick Summary**

Polynomial division allows us to decompose functions as

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$f(x) = r(x) \mod p(x)$$

## **Quick Summary**

Polynomial division allows us to decompose functions as

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$
$$deg(r) < deg(p)$$
$$f(x) = r(x) \mod p(x)$$

### **Quick Summary**

Polynomial division allows us to decompose functions as

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$
$$deg(r) < deg(p)$$
$$f(x) = r(x) \mod p(x)$$

Can always be done — best seen by example!

$$f(x) = x^{3} + ax^{2} - (5 + 2a)x + 1 \qquad p(x) = x^{2} - 2x - 1 \implies x^{2} = p(x) + 2x + 1$$

### **Quick Summary**

Polynomial division allows us to decompose functions as

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$
$$deg(r) < deg(p)$$
$$f(x) = r(x) \mod p(x)$$

Can always be done — best seen by example!

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^3 + ax^2 - (5+2a)x + 1 & p(x) = x^2 - 2x - 1 & \longrightarrow x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \\ f(x) &= x \left( p(x) + 2x + 1 \right) + a \left( p(x) + 2x + 1 \right) - (5+2a)x + 1 \\ &= p(x)(x+a) + 2x^2 + x + 2ax + a - 5x - 2ax + 1 \\ &= p(x)(x+a) + 2x^2 + a - 4x + 1 \\ &= p(x)(x+a) + 2(p(x) + 2x + 1) + a - 4x + 1 \\ &= p(x)(x+a+2) + 4x + 2 + a - 4x + 1 \\ &= p(x)(x+a+2) + a + 3 \end{aligned}$$

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

What about for irrational solutions?  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x$ 

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

What about for irrational solutions?

 $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x \longrightarrow \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) + x = 3 + a + x$ 

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

What about for irrational solutions?

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x \longrightarrow \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) + x = 3 + a + x$$

$$\tilde{f}(x^*) = \tilde{r}(x^*) = 3 + a + x^* = 4 \pm \sqrt{2}$$

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

What about for irrational solutions?

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x \longrightarrow \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) + x = 3 + a + x$$
  
 $\tilde{f}(x^*) = \tilde{r}(x^*) = 3 + a + x^* = 4 \pm \sqrt{2}$   
no root cancellations needed

Can also apply the same techniques to rational functions

Define inverses as:

$$\frac{1}{g(x)} := g_{\text{inv}}(x) \mod p(x) \longleftrightarrow g(x)g_{\text{inv}}(x) = 1 \mod p(x)$$

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

#### What about for irrational solutions?

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x \longrightarrow \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) + x = 3 + a + x$$
  
 $\tilde{f}(x^*) = \tilde{r}(x^*) = 3 + a + x^* = 4 \pm \sqrt{2}$   
no root cancellations needed

#### Can also apply the same techniques to rational functions

Define inverses as:  $\frac{1}{g(x)} := g_{inv}(x) \mod p(x) \longleftrightarrow g(x)g_{inv}(x) = 1 \mod p(x)$ 

Eg:  $\frac{1}{1+x^3} = \frac{13}{14} - \frac{5x}{14} \mod p(x)$ 

How does polynomial division solve the problem from a few slides back?

For  $x^*$  s.t.  $p(x^*) = 0$  what is  $f(x^*)$ ?

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$$

$$0$$

$$f(x^*) = q(x^*)p(x^*) + r(x^*) = r(x^*) = 3 + a$$

#### What about for irrational solutions?

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + x \longrightarrow \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) + x = 3 + a + x \qquad \qquad \tilde{f}(x^*) = \tilde{r}(x^*) = 3 + a + x^* = 4 \pm \sqrt{2}$$
no root cancellations needed

#### Can also apply the same techniques to rational functions

Define inverses as:  $\frac{1}{q(x)} := g_{inv}(x) \mod p(x) \longleftrightarrow g(x)g_{inv}(x) = 1 \mod p(x)$ 

Eg:

$$\frac{1}{g(x)} := g_{inv}(x) \mod p(x) \longleftrightarrow g(x)g_{inv}(x) = 1 \mod p(x)$$
$$\frac{1}{1+x^3} = \frac{13}{14} - \frac{5x}{14} \mod p(x) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{1+(x^*)^3} = \frac{13}{14} - \frac{5x^*}{14} = \frac{1}{14} \left(8 \mp 5\sqrt{2}\right)$$

### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$
  
 $x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

•

### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$

$$x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(x) - x^{3} - ax^{2} + (5 + 2a)x + 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$

#### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

 $\Gamma f(...)$ 

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$
$$x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$$
$$\vdots$$

$$f(x) - x^3 - ax^2 + (5+2a)x + 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$

Cast in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & a & -(5+2a) & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^3 \\ x^2 \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

#### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$
  
 $x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

$$f(x) - x^3 - ax^2 + (5 + 2a)x + 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$

:

Cast in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & a & -(5+2a) & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^3 \\ x^2 \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RowRed}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3-a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^3 \\ x^2 \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

#### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$
  
 $x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

:  

$$f(x) - x^3 - ax^2 + (5+2a)x + 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$

Cast in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & a & -(5+2a) & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^3 \\ x^2 \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\text{RowRed}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3-a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^3 \\ x^2 \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

 $f(x) - 3 - a = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

#### Polynomial division as row reduction

If all we care about is the remainder, we can work modulo p(x) from the beginning

$$x^2 = p(x) + 2x + 1 \longrightarrow x^2 = 2x + 1 \mod p(x)$$

Can generate a linear system of equations this way

$$x^{2} - 2x - 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$$
  
 $x^{3} - 2x^{2} - x = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

 $f(x) - x^3 - ax^2 + (5+2a)x + 1 = 0 \mod p(x)$ 



$$\begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^{3} \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^{3} \\ x^{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\text{RowRed}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 - a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -5 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ x^{3} \\ x^{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Useful because there exist very quick ways to do row reduction: Sample over finite fields and reconstruct output

 $f(x) - 3 - a = 0 \mod p(x)$ 

**Operations on Matrices** 

 $\mathbb{M}(a_1,\cdots,a_n)$ 

### **Operations on Matrices**



### **Operations on Matrices**



Algebraic post processing simplification — can become very intensive!

## **Operations on Matrices**



Algebraic post processing simplification — can become very intensive!

### Finite Fields Approach

Substitute numerical	_ Perform all operations	Reconstruct functional output
values for parameters	numerically mod primes	from numerical sampling

## **Operations on Matrices**



Algebraic post processing simplification — can become very intensive!

## Finite Fields Approach

Substitute numerical<br/>values for parametersPerform all operations<br/>numerically mod primesReconstruct functional output<br/>from numerical sampling

Complicated cancellations will happen numerically — final reconstructed output already "simplified" [FiniteFlow, Peraro, 2019]

## **Operations on Matrices**



Algebraic post processing simplification — can become very intensive!

### Finite Fields Approach

Substitute numerical<br/>values for parametersPerform all operations<br/>numerically mod primesReconstruct functional output<br/>from numerical sampling

 $\lceil f(r) \rceil$ 

Complicated cancellations will happen numerically — final reconstructed output already "simplified" [FiniteFlow, Peraro, 2019]

#### What is reconstructed?

f(x)

p(x)

$$= x^{3} + ax^{2} - (5+2a)x + 1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & a & -(5+2a) & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{3} \\ x^{3} \\ x^{2} \\ x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

## **Operations on Matrices**



Algebraic post processing simplification — can become very intensive!

## Finite Fields Approach



Complicated cancellations will happen numerically — final reconstructed output already "simplified"



## **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous

 $x^n > x^{n-1} > \dots > x^3 > x^2 > x > 1$ 

### **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous

 $x^n > x^{n-1} > \dots > x^3 > x^2 > x > 1$ 

For more than one variable there are multiple choices one can take

x > y

## **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous

 $x^n > x^{n-1} > \dots > x^3 > x^2 > x > 1$ 

For more than one variable there are multiple choices one can take

Lexicographic:  $\cdots > x^2 > xy^{\infty} > x > y^{\infty} > \cdots > y > 1$ 

## **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous

 $x^n > x^{n-1} > \dots > x^3 > x^2 > x > 1$ 

For more than one variable there are multiple choices one can take

Lexicographic: $\dots > x^2 > xy^{\infty} > xy > x > y^{\infty} > \dots > y > 1$ x > yDegree Lexicographic: $\dots > y^3 > x^2 > xy > y^2 > x > y > 1$
### **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous



### **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous



#### Is the division unique?

Unfortunately, this is not enough to uniquely determine a multivariate polynomial division

```
Consider I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle. What is xy = ? \mod I
```

### **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous



#### Is the division unique?

Unfortunately, this is not enough to uniquely determine a multivariate polynomial division

Consider 
$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$$
. What is  $xy = ? \mod I$   
 $xy = x \mod I$ 

### **Monomial Orderings**

For one variable, sorting the monomials from "worst" to "best" is unambiguous



#### Is the division unique?

Unfortunately, this is not enough to uniquely determine a multivariate polynomial division

Consider 
$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$$
. What is  $xy = ? \mod I$   
 $xy = x \mod I$   $xy = y + 1 \mod I$ 

Problem normally fixed by introducing Groebner Bases

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle \longrightarrow G = \langle y^2 - 1, x - y - 1 \rangle$$
 (Lexicographic)

Any possible combination of the elements of G will result in the same polynomial remainder

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle \longrightarrow G = \langle y^2 - 1, x - y - 1 \rangle$$
 (Lexicographic)

Any possible combination of the elements of G will result in the same polynomial remainder

$$xy \stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{=} (y+1)y = y + y^2 \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{=} y + 1 \mod G$$

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle \longrightarrow G = \langle y^2 - 1, x - y - 1 \rangle \quad \text{(Lexicographic)}$$

Any possible combination of the elements of G will result in the same polynomial remainder

$$xy \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y = y + y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{1}{=} x \stackrel{2}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G$$

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle \longrightarrow G = \langle y^2 - 1, x - y - 1 \rangle \quad \text{(Lexicographic)}$$

Any possible combination of the elements of G will result in the same polynomial remainder

$$xy \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y = y + y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{1}{=} x \stackrel{2}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G$$

Groebner bases can be very difficult to calculate and are often computational bottlenecks!

#### **Groebner Bases**

A Groebner basis G is a set of polynomials obtained from I that has many nice properties

For this talk: Roots of G = 0 are the same as I = 0, and polynomial division ambiguities fixed

$$I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle \longrightarrow G = \langle y^2 - 1, x - y - 1 \rangle \quad \text{(Lexicographic)}$$

Any possible combination of the elements of G will result in the same polynomial remainder

$$xy \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y = y + y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{1}{=} x \stackrel{2}{=} y + 1 \mod G \qquad xy^2 \stackrel{2}{=} (y+1)y^2 \stackrel{1}{=} y + 1 \mod G$$

Groebner bases can be very difficult to calculate and are often computational bottlenecks!

#### **Avoiding Groebner Bases**

Claim: We can explicitly avoid computing a Groebner basis, and still obtain the correct result from polynomial division, using row reduction [Faugére, 1999] [Buchberger, 1985]

Allows us to compute polynomial divisions without needing to reconstruct the "intermediate" Groebner Basis

#### **Row Reduction Again**

We consider again  $I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$  and let  $f(x, y) = xy^2$ 

#### **Row Reduction Again**

We consider again  $I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$  and let  $f(x, y) = xy^2$ 

Seed a linear system by multiplying I by  $x^n y^m$ 



#### **Row Reduction Again**

We consider again  $I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$  and let  $f(x, y) = xy^2$ 

Seed a linear system by multiplying I by  $x^n y^m$ 



### **Row Reduction Again**

We consider again  $I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$  and let  $f(x, y) = xy^2$ 

Seed a linear system by multiplying I by  $x^n y^m$ 



Read off from top row:  $f(x,y) = y + 1 \mod I$  No explicit Groebner Basis required!

Irreducible monomials

### **Row Reduction Again**

We consider again  $I = \langle xy - x, xy - y - 1 \rangle$  and let  $f(x, y) = xy^2$ 

Seed a linear system by multiplying I by  $x^n y^m$ 



Read off from top row:  $f(x,y) = y + 1 \mod I$  No explicit Groebner Basis required!

Irreducible monomials

Process can again be implemented in finite fields, with a reconstruction step at the end.

#### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

#### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth

### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth

> Functionality not present in Mathematica even with symbolic processing!

### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth Functionality not present in Mathematica even with symbolic processing!

Inputs and outputs:

$$I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle$$



### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth Functionality not present in Mathematica even with symbolic processing!

Inputs and outputs:

$$I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle$$

Row reduction for companion matrix construction

$$M_{x_1}, \cdots, M_{x_n}$$

$$f(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$$

### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth Functionality not present in Mathematica even with symbolic processing!

Inputs and outputs:

$$I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$
Row reduction for companion matrix construction  $\downarrow$  Recursive parsing into companion matrix form
$$M_{x_1}, \cdots, M_{x_n} \longrightarrow M_{f(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$$

### **Program Overview**

A (Mathematica) package that performs polynomial division over finite fields

No intermediate reconstructions required — only reconstructs the final result, ensuring the numerical cancellations of complex intermediate stages

Can handle polynomials or multivariate rational functions as input to arbitrary nested depth Functionality not present in Mathematica even with symbolic processing!

Inputs and outputs:

$$I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$
Row reduction for companion matrix construction
$$M_{x_1}, \cdots, M_{x_n} \longrightarrow M_{f(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$$
Finite Field Reconstruction
$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = r(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \mod I$$

10

### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle$ 

 $x_n > \cdots > x_1$  (+ lexicographic ordering)

### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad \qquad x_n > \cdots > x_1 \quad \text{(+ lexicographic ordering)}$ 

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:

 $x_1^m \mod I$ 

### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle$ 

 $x_n > \cdots > x_1$  (+ lexicograppic ordering)

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:

 $x_1^m \mod I$   $x_1^m$ 

(irreducible monomial)

#### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad \qquad x_n > \cdots > x_1 \quad \text{(+ lexicographic ordering)}$ 

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:

 $x_1^m \mod I$   $x_1^m \mod I$  (irreducible monomial)  $= r(x_1) = c_0 + \cdots c_a x_1^a$  a < m (polynomially reduced)

#### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad \qquad x_n > \cdots > x_1 \quad \text{(+ lexicographic ordering)}$ 

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:



#### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad \qquad x_n > \cdots > x_1 \quad \text{(+ lexicographic ordering)}$ 

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:



#### **Elimination Theory**

Consider the following setup:

 $I = \langle p_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \cdots, p_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rangle \qquad \qquad x_n > \cdots > x_1 \quad \text{(+ lexicographic ordering)}$ 

Polynomial division allows one to eliminate variables from a polynomial system:



11

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

 $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z]$ 

 $I = \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle$ 

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

 $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z]$  $I = \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle$ 

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$				

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

$$\begin{split} R &= \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z] \\ I &= \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle \end{split}$$

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$	pprox 0.027s	pprox 0.016s	pprox 0.15s	3
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$				

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

 $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z]$  $I = \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle$ 

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$	pprox 0.027s	pprox 0.016s	pprox 0.15s	3
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$	> 10h	$\approx 2s$	pprox 0.2s	27
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$				
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$				

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

 $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z]$  $I = \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle$ 

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$	pprox 0.027s	pprox 0.016s	pprox 0.15s	3
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$	> 10h	$\approx 2s$	pprox 0.2s	27
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$	?	$\approx 3h$	pprox 0.3s	523
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$				
Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

 $R = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z]$  $I = \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle$ 

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Finite Fields System Generation: Seed up to weight 17  $\longrightarrow$  2000 equations  $\longrightarrow$  850 equations  $\approx 1s$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$	pprox 0.027s	pprox 0.016s	pprox 0.15s	3
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$	> 10h	$\approx 2s$	pprox 0.2s	27
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$	?	$\approx 3h$	pprox 0.3s	523
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$	?	> 7d	$\approx 3s$	6769

Elimination Benchmarking (Preliminary)

$$\begin{split} R &= \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d][x, y, z] \\ I &= \langle a + x^2y^2 + y^3 + z - 1, ax + cxy^2 + cy + z^2 - 2, a + bxy^2 + b + x^2y^2, -c + dxz + xyz + 1 \rangle \end{split}$$

Task: Eliminate  $\{x, y, z\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(a, b, c, d)$ 

Finite Fields System Generation: Seed up to weight 17  $\longrightarrow$  2000 equations  $\longrightarrow$  850 equations  $\approx 1s$ 

Resultant	Singular Time	Mathematica Time	Finite Fields Time (10 core)	Finite Fields Sample Points
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,7,d)$	pprox 0.027s	pprox 0.016s	pprox 0.15s	3
$\mathcal{R}(3,5,c,d)$	> 10h	$\approx 2s$	pprox 0.2s	27
$\mathcal{R}(3,b,c,d)$	?	$\approx 3h$	$\approx 0.3s$	523
$\mathcal{R}(a,b,c,d)$	?	>7d	$\approx 3s$	6769

The Finite Fields approach is solving a larger set of equations, but isn't slowed down by intermediate cancellations

### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral

$$I(s_{ij},m) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{G}(x,s_{ij},m)^{-d/2} \ \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$$

### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral

$$I(s_{ij},m) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{G}(x,s_{ij},m)^{-d/2} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$$
Parameters (Mandelstam variables) Integration variables

[Lee, 2013]

### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral



### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral



Parametric representations allow us to associate an Euler characteristic  $\chi$  to a given Feynman integral [Lee, 2013] [Mastrolia, Mizera 2018]

Computing  $\chi$  is algorithmically simple:  $\omega = d \log \left( \mathcal{G}(z)^{-d/2} \right) \qquad \chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$ 

### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral



Parametric representations allow us to associate an Euler characteristic  $\chi$  to a given Feynman integral [Lee, 2013] [Mastrolia, Mizera 2018]

Computing 
$$\chi$$
 is algorithmically simple:  $\omega = d \log \left( \mathcal{G}(z)^{-d/2} \right)$   $\chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$ 

For what values of  $\{s_{ij}, m\}$  does *I* diverge?  $\longrightarrow$  Landau Analysis [Cutkosky, 1960]

[Abreu, Berghoff, Bourjaily, Britto, Correia, Duhr, Fevola, Gardi, Giroux, Hannesdottir, Helmer, McLeod, Mizera, Panzer, Papathanasiou, Schwartz, Tellander, Telen, Vergu, 2017-2025]

### Landau Singularities of Feynman (Euler) Integrals

A Feynman integral can be defined as an Euler/Twisted Period Integral



Parametric representations allow us to associate an Euler characteristic  $\chi$  to a given Feynman integral [Lee, 2013] [Mastrolia, Mizera 2018]

Computing 
$$\chi$$
 is algorithmically simple:  $\omega = d \log \left( \mathcal{G}(z)^{-d/2} \right)$   $\chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$ 

For what values of  $\{s_{ij}, m\}$  does *I* diverge?  $\longrightarrow$  Landau Analysis [Cutkosky, 1960] [Abreu, Berghoff, Bourjaily, Britto, Correia, Duhr, Fevola, Gardi, Giroux, Hannesdottir, Helmer, McLeod, Mizera, Panzer, Papathanasiou, Schwartz, Tellander, Telen, Vergu, 2017-2025]

The Landau Variety can be defined as the values of  $\{s_{ij}, m\}$  for which the Euler characteristic drops in value

[Chestnov, Matsubara-Heo, Munch, Takayama, 2023] [Mizera, Fevola, Telen, 2023/24] 13

### Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis

Computing  $\chi$ :  $\omega = d \log \left( G(z)^{-d/2} \right)$   $\chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$   $\omega = -\frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_1 G}{G} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial_n G}{G} dx_n \right)$ 

#### Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis

Computing  $\chi$ :  $\omega = d \log \left( G(z)^{-d/2} \right)$   $\chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$   $\omega = -\frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_1 G}{G} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial_n G}{G} dx_n \right)$  $\omega = 0 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_1 G}{G} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial_n G}{G} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis

Computing  $\chi$ :  $\omega = d \log \left( G(z)^{-d/2} \right)$   $\chi = \#$  solutions to  $\omega = 0$   $\omega = -\frac{d}{2} \left( \frac{\partial_1 G}{G} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial_n G}{G} dx_n \right)$  $\omega = 0 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial_1 G}{G} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial_n G}{G} = 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 G = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_n G = 0 \\ 1 - x_0 G = 0 \end{bmatrix}$  system of polynomial equations!

### **Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis**



### **Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis**



### **Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis**



Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis: Three loop envelope (preliminary)

Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis: Three loop envelope (preliminary)



Horrendous integral:  $\chi = 60(!)$  in the top (max cut) sector alone

Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis: Three loop envelope (preliminary)



Horrendous integral:  $\chi = 60(!)$  in the top (max cut) sector alone

SOFIA/PLD most complicated letter found:  $27(m^2)^3 + 4s^2t + 4st^2$ 

[Fevola, Mizera, Telen, 2023] [Correia, Giroux, Mizera, 2025]

Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis: Three loop envelope (preliminary)



Horrendous integral:  $\chi = 60(!)$  in the top (max cut) sector alone

SOFIA/PLD most complicated letter found:  $27(m^2)^3 + 4s^2t + 4st^2$ 

[Fevola, Mizera, Telen, 2023] [Correia, Giroux, Mizera, 2025]

[Correia, Sever, Zhibodeov, 2021]

Euler characteristic strategy

Two new simple letters:  $\{s^2 + st + t^2, m^2s^2 + m^2st + s^2t + m^2t^2 + st^2\}$ 

Computing Euler Characteristics for Landau Analysis: Three loop envelope (preliminary)



Horrendous integral:  $\chi = 60(!)$  in the top (max cut) sector alone

SOFIA/PLD most complicated letter found:  $27(m^2)^3 + 4s^2t + 4st^2$ 

[Fevola, Mizera, Telen, 2023] [Correia, Giroux, Mizera, 2025]

### Euler characteristic strategy

Two new simple letters:  $\{s^2 + st + t^2, m^2s^2 + m^2st + s^2t + m^2t^2 + st^2\}$ 

#### Four new complicated letters:

```
 \left\{ 27 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ s}^{2} + 108 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ s} \text{ t} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t} + 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t} + 4 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t} + 108 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 2 \\ 6 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{2} - \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} - 18 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s} \text{ t}^{3} - 20 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} - 2 \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} - 9 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ t}^{4} - 10 \text{ m2} \text{ s} \text{ t}^{4} - \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{4}, 108 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ s}^{2} - 9 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{4} + 108 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ s} \text{ t} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t} - 18 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t} - 10 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t} + 27 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 20 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{2} - \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} - 2 \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} + 4 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t} + 27 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} + 4 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 27 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ t}^{2} - 8^{4} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} + 2 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} + 2 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} + 2 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} + 4 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t} + 27 \text{ m2}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} + 162 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 117 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} - 54 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} + 2 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{3} - 2 \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} + 4 \text{ m2}^{2} \text{ s}^{6} \text{ t}^{2} - 10336 \text{ m2}^{10} \text{ s} \text{ t} - 458 \text{ 752} \text{ m2}^{9} \text{ s}^{2} \text{ t}^{2} + 66048 \text{ m2}^{8} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t} - 1276416 \text{ m2}^{7} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t} + 3072 \text{ m2}^{6} \text{ s}^{5} \text{ t} - 137 \text{ 472} \text{ m2}^{5} \text{ s}^{6} \text{ t} - 4996 \text{ m2}^{3} \text{ s}^{6} \text{ t}^{2} + 66048 \text{ m2}^{8} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} - 2552 \text{ 832} \text{ m2}^{7} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{3} - 3427584 \text{ m2}^{6} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{2} - 412416 \text{ m2}^{5} \text{ s}^{5} \text{ t}^{3} - 48 \text{ m2} \text{ s}^{8} \text{ t}^{4} + 33024 \text{ m2}^{8} \text{ s}^{4} \text{ t}^{3} - 2552832 \text{ m2}^{7} \text{ s}^{3} \text{ t}^{4} + 92320 \text{
```

### Thank you for listening!