Extended EFT of Dark Energy

Amjad Ashoorioon (Physics, IPM)

School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

> May 29th, 2025 PLANCK 2025 Conference, Padua, Italy

In collaboration with Ehsan Yousefi & Mohammad B. Jahani Poshteh

based on Phys. Lett. B 844(2023) 138115, 2304.07344 [hep-th] § 2502.02401 [hep-th]







- The discovery of the current acceleration of the universe took us by surprise.
- The simplest explanation is a Cosmological Constant (CC) ~ $10^{-122}M_{\rm Pl}^4$
- Another approach is modified gravity
- Among MoG, are scalar-tensor (ST) theories —> Const. Long Range Force
- Other approaches to modifying gravity are DGP braneworld model and Fierz-Pauli theory of massive gravity
- π interacts with matter with gravitational strength which makes it healthy.
- These theories reduce to ST theory on $\Lambda_{IR} < E$ instead of GR
- The theories become strongly coupled at $\Lambda_{\rm UV}$

• Ghost condensation (GC): modification of gravity with no strong coupling in UV Arkani-Hamed, et al. (2009)

• It is like CC, with excitations around the spontaneously Lorentz-Breaking background that breaks time-translation too

 $\langle \dot{\phi} \rangle = c$

• Unlike massive gravitons, one gets only an additional scalar field with modified dispersion relation.

• The kinetic term for ghost field appears with a sign opposite to the SM fields

$$\mathscr{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi$$

• Like in Higgs mechanism, higher order term $(\partial \phi)^4$ stabilizes the theory.

• It was argued in Arkani-Hamed et al. (2004) that going beyond $\omega^2 \sim k^4$ leads to strong coupling at IR.

• For e.g. if one assumes $E \to sE$ and $\omega^2 \sim k^6$, the scaling of t, x, and π are as follow

 $t \to s^{-1}t$ $x \to s^{-1/3}x$ $\pi \to s^0\pi$

• Therefore the interacting operator

 $\int \mathrm{d}^3x \, \mathrm{d}t \, M^4 \dot{\pi} (\nabla \pi)^2 \, ,$

scales like $s^{-1/3}$ and therefore becomes relevant at IR.

- In cosmological setups however approaching the IR limit is a bit tricky.
- During inflation, if there is a transition from $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ to $\omega^2 \sim k^4$ or $\omega^2 \sim k^2$ before it becomes strongly coupled at Λ_6^{IR} one can have a consistent EFT.

Ashoorioon, et al. (2018) Ashoorioon, et al. (2021)

• The late-time acceleration of the universe is a recent phenomenon in cosmological time scales, $z \approx 0.7$

• Modes do not really approach the IR limit and therefore an EFT with pure $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ can make sense.

Outline:

• Structure of the ghost condensate with a sextic dispersion relation.

Avoidance of Strong Coupling in the late time cosmological acceleration

• GC coupled to gravity in Minkowski spacetime

• Coupling GC to Gravity in de Sitter

Structure of the GC with a sextic dispersion relation

• Let us consider the action

$$S = S_0 + \Delta S \,,$$

where

$$\Delta S = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[M^2 \left(S_1 (\Box \phi)^2 + S_2 (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi)^2 \right) + S_3 \left(\partial_\mu \Box \phi \right)^2 + S_4 \left(\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \phi \right)^2 + \cdots \right].$$

$$S_i(X) \text{ where } X = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$$

 $S_0 = \left[\mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[M^4 P(X) \right] \right],$

• Varying the action, we obtain

$$\partial^{\mu}\left[P'(X)\partial_{\mu}\phi\right]=0,$$

when gravity is ignored

$$\phi = ct$$

where c is an arbitrary dimensionless constant.

Structure of the GC with a sextic dispersion relation

• Now looking at $\phi = ct + \pi$

 $S_0 = M^4 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\dot{\pi}^2 (P'(c^2) + 2c^2 P''(c^2)) - P'(c^2)(\partial_i \pi)^2 - 2P''(c^2)c\dot{\pi}(\partial_i \pi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}P''(c^2)(\partial_i \pi)^4 + \cdots \right].$

• The time and spatial kinetic sign of π appear with the standard sign if

 $P'(c^2) > 0, \qquad P'(c^2) + 2c^2 P''(c^2) > 0.$

• In an expanding background, $P'(\dot{\phi}^2) \propto a(t)^{-3}$ \longrightarrow the coefficient of $(\partial_i \pi)^2$ vanishes for $\dot{\phi}^2 = c_*^2$

• When one considers just the $S_{1,2}$ terms, $\omega^2 \sim k^4$, in absence of gravity.

• Arkani-Hamed et al. (2004)

• We want to go to $\omega^2 \sim k^6$, so we have to include $S_{3,4}$ terms.

Structure of the GC with a sextic dispersion relation

$$S = \int d^4x \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2} \left[M^4 \dot{\pi}^2 - \bar{M}^2 (\partial_i \partial_j \pi)^2 - \bar{S} (\partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \pi)^2 + \cdots \right]$$

 $\bar{M}^2 = -2M^2(S_1(c_*^2) + S_2(c_*^2)) \ge 0$ $\bar{S} = -2(S_3(c_*^2) + S_4(c_*^2)) > 0$

$$\omega^2 = \frac{M^2}{M^4} k^4 + \frac{S}{M^4} k^6 \,.$$

• To obtain pure $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ one has to either assume

or

 $\bar{M}^2 = -2M^2(S_1(c_*^2) + S_2(c_*^2)) = 0$

$$\frac{\bar{M}^2}{\bar{S}} \ll k^2 \sim \frac{H^2}{(1+z_c)^2}$$

Viability of $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ Dispersion Relation

• Contemporary acceleration is like a low-scale inflation

• The largest mode that has exit the horizon is $k \simeq \frac{H}{1+z_c}$, where z_c is the redshift of domination of DE.

• Like inflation avoidance of strong coupling could be defined as

$$\left|f_{_{\rm NL}}\right| \ll \left|\zeta\right|^{-1}$$

where $\zeta = -H\pi$ and $f_{NL} \sim \frac{B(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{\Delta_{\zeta}^4(k)} \qquad \qquad \Delta_{\zeta}^2(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \langle \zeta\zeta \rangle$ $B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \langle \zeta(k_1)\zeta(k_2)\zeta(k_3) \rangle$

• $u = a(\eta)\pi_c(\eta)$ where $\pi_c = \pi M^2$ satisfies the following equation

$$\left(-\frac{a''(\eta)}{a(\eta)} + \frac{\bar{S}}{M^4} \frac{k^6}{a(\eta)^4}\right) u(\eta) + u''(\eta) = 0.$$

Viability of $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ Dispersion Relation

In de-Sitter space
$$a = -\frac{1}{H\eta}$$
, the solution is
$$u(\eta, k) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{M}{\bar{S}^{1/4}k^{3/2}H\eta} \exp\left(\frac{-i\sqrt{\bar{S}}}{3} \left(\frac{H}{M}\right)^2 (k\eta)^3\right)$$

and the two-point function is obtained to be

$$\Delta_{\zeta}^{2}(k) = \frac{3\pi}{\sqrt{\bar{S}}} \left(\frac{H}{M}\right)^{2}$$

and the three-point function could be computed from the in-in formalism

$$\langle \zeta(k_1)\zeta(k_2)\zeta(k_3)\rangle = -\frac{H^3}{M^6} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -2i\langle \pi_c(\tilde{\eta}, \vec{k}_1)\pi_c(\tilde{\eta}, \vec{k}_2)\pi_c(\tilde{\eta}, \vec{k}_3) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q_3}{(2\pi)^3} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{d}\eta' \ a(\eta')^4 \\ \times \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{int}} e^{-i(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2 + \vec{q}_3) \cdot \vec{x}} \rangle \right\}, \qquad \text{where } \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{int}} = -\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{int}} = \frac{1}{2c_*M^2} \dot{\pi}_c \left(\partial_i \pi_c\right)^2$$

one should note that $\eta_0 = -\frac{1}{H}$ and $\eta_1 = -\frac{1+z_c}{H}$

Viability of $\omega^2 \sim k^6$ **Dispersion Relation**

For
$$k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = \frac{H}{1 + z_c}$$
 and in the limit that $\frac{H}{M} \ll 1$, one obtains
 $\left| f_{_{\rm NL}} \right| \ll |\zeta|^{-1} \longrightarrow c_* \bar{S}^{1/4} \gg 10^{-34}$

which can be satisfied for c_* and $\bar{S} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

• When the strong coupling become important, i.e. $|f_{_{\rm NL}}| \simeq |\zeta|^{-1}$

 $z_c^* \ge 6 \times 10^{33}$

which mean \sim 77 e-folds from now!

- In unitary gauge the modification of the gravity is explicit.
- One can break the time-diff. by choosing the gauge $\phi(t, x) = t$
- One can write down the unitary gauge action with the terms that respect the residual symmetry,

t' = t $x'^{i} = x^{i} + \xi^{i}(t, x)$

- Looking at $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, one of the invariants is h_{00}
- Restore the full diff. by performing the Stueckelberg trick, i.e. performing a broken ξ^0 diffeomorphism and then promoting it to field π

$$h_{00} \rightarrow h_{00} - 2\partial_0 \pi$$
, $h_{0i} \rightarrow h_{0i} - \partial_i \pi$, $h_{ij} \rightarrow h_{ij}$

• The kinetic term for π is generated through the term

 $\frac{1}{8}\int M^4 h_{00}^2$

• Noting that $K_{ij} \rightarrow K_{ij} + \partial_i \partial_j \pi$, one can write down the terms lead to the sixth order dispersion relation,

$$S = -\int d^3x dt \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{2} \nabla_i K_{jk} \nabla_i K_{jk} + \frac{\sigma_2}{2} (\nabla_i K_{jj})^2 + \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \nabla_i K_{ij} \nabla_l K_{lj} + \frac{\sigma_4}{2} \nabla_i K_{ij} \nabla_j K_{ll}\right)$$

• Reintroducing π in the above Lagrangian one obtains

$$S = \int -\frac{\sigma}{2} \left[(\nabla^3 \pi)^2 + \cdots \right]$$

• Let us now look at the modification of gravity in the GC at the linearized level

 $ds^{2} = (1 + 2\Phi(t, x))dt^{2} - (1 - 2\Psi(t, x))\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j},$

the Einstein-Hilbert contribution enforces that in the Newtonian limit $\omega^2 \ll k^2$

 $\Phi = \Psi$

the the Lagrangian at the quadratic level is

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} M^4 \left(\Phi - \dot{\pi} \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma}{2} \left(k^6 \pi^2 + \dots \right).$$

introducing the canonical variables, $M^2 \pi \to \pi_c$, and $\sqrt{2}M_{\text{Pl}} \Phi \to \Phi_c$ and setting $\mu = \equiv \frac{M^2}{\sqrt{2}M_{\text{Pl}}}$, the kinetic matrix of the Lagrangian is

 $\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -k^2 + \mu^2 & i\mu\omega \\ -i\mu\omega & \omega^2 - \frac{\sigma}{M^4}k^6 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \omega^2 = \frac{\sigma}{M^4} \left(k^6 - \mu^2 k^4\right) \qquad \text{due to mixing with}$

• for $k < \mu$ there is instability.

• The width of instability in ω , gives the timescale t_c , that a change in the gravitational potential happens at scale $\sim \mu^{-1}$

$$\omega_{\text{ins}} = i \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{6}} \frac{M^4}{M_{\text{Pl}}^3} = i \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{6}} \frac{\mu^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \equiv i \Upsilon.$$

• To obtain the modified gravity potential, we need to compute $\langle \Phi_c \Phi_c \rangle$

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\frac{\sigma\mu^2}{M^4} k^4}{-\frac{\sigma}{M^4} k^6 + \frac{\sigma\mu^2}{M^4} k^4 + \omega^2} \right).$$

• The correction to the Newtonian potential is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ if

$$\left|\omega - \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{M^2}k^3\right| \lesssim \sqrt{\sigma}\frac{\mu^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}} = \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\Upsilon$$

- There is an instability timescale, $t_c \sim \Upsilon^{-1} \sim \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^3}{\sqrt{\sigma}M^4}$ This timescale is a factor of $\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{M}$ larger than the corresponding timescale in quartic dispersion relation due to the slowdown of the signal at $r_c \ll t_c$
- This gives rise to the modification of gravity

$$\Phi = \Phi_{N} + \Phi_{Mod}$$

$$\Phi_{N} = -\frac{\frac{M^{-2}}{Pl}}{r}, \quad \Phi_{Mod} = M^{-2}_{Pl}A(r, t),$$

If we define

$$\kappa \equiv \mu^{-1}k, \quad \Omega \equiv \frac{2}{3\sqrt{6}} \frac{\omega}{\Upsilon}, \quad \varrho \equiv \mu r, \quad \tau \equiv \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2} \Upsilon t.$$



We start from the action

 $A = A_{\rm EH} + A_{\rm GC}$

where

$$A_{\rm EH} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} M_{\rm Pl}^2 R$$

and

$$A_{\rm GC} = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \Big[\frac{M^4}{4} (X-1)^2 - \gamma^{ij} \left(\sigma_1 \nabla_i K_{lr} \nabla_j K^{lr} + \sigma_2 \nabla_i K \nabla_j K \right) - \sigma_3 \nabla_i K_j^i \nabla_l K^{lj} - \sigma_4 \nabla^i K_{ij} \nabla^j K \Big]$$

We perturb the metric

$$ds^{2} = (1 + 2\Phi(x^{\mu}))dt^{2} - a^{2}(t)(1 - 2\Psi(x^{\mu}))\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}$$

and expand the action A_{GC} in terms of Φ , Ψ and recover the Goldstone boson, π using the Stueckelberg trick

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GC} = -\frac{1}{2a^3} \Big[\sigma_1 \Big[\partial_i (\partial_j^2 \pi) + Ha^2 \partial_i (\Phi - \dot{\pi}) + a^2 \partial_i \dot{\Psi} \Big]^2 + \sigma_2 \Big[\partial_i (\partial_j^2 \pi) + 3Ha^2 \partial_i (\Phi - \dot{\pi}) + 3a^2 \partial_i \dot{\Psi} \Big]^2 \\ + \sigma_3 \Big[\partial_i (\partial_j^2 \pi) + a^2 \partial_i \dot{\Psi} \Big]^2 + \sigma_4 \Big[\Big(\partial_i (\partial_j^2 \pi) + 2Ha^2 \partial_i (\Phi - \dot{\pi}) + 2a^2 \partial_i \dot{\Psi} \Big)^2 \\ - \Big(Ha^2 \partial_i (\Phi - \dot{\pi}) + a^2 \partial_i \dot{\Psi} \Big)^2 \Big] \Big] + \frac{1}{2} M^4 a^3 (\Phi - \dot{\pi})^2 .$$

Varying the action A w.r.t. $g^{\mu\nu}$

$$M_{\rm Pl}^2 G_{\lambda}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} M^4 \delta_0^{\rho} \delta_{\lambda 0} (1 - X) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_1 \mathcal{K}_{1\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \sigma_2 \mathcal{K}_{2\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \sigma_3 \mathcal{K}_{3\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \sigma_4 \mathcal{K}_{4\lambda}^{\ \rho} \right) = 0 \,, \quad (*)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{i\lambda}^{\rho}$ are complicated expressions of the extrinsic curvature and its derivative and G_{λ}^{ρ} is the Einstein tensor. The off-diagonal component of (*) suggests

 $\Phi = \Psi$

Varying the action A w.r.t. π and using the three equations from three equations above, one can obtain the EoM for dark energy perturbations, π

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{6} \pi - C_{1} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{6} \dot{\pi} + C_{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{4} \pi + C_{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{4} \dot{\pi} + C_{4} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{2} \pi + C_{5} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{2} \dot{\pi} + C_{6} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^{2} \ddot{\pi} + C_{7} \ddot{\pi} - C_{8} \dot{\pi} = 0,$$

where

 $S_2 \equiv 3\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + 2\sigma_4$, $S_3 \equiv 3(\sigma_1 + 3\sigma_2 + \sigma_4)$, $S_4 \equiv 2S_2 + \sigma_3$, $C_1 = \frac{3S_2S_4H}{12S_1M_{\rm Pl}^2 - 3S_2S_4H^2},$ $C_2 = \frac{S_2 \left(S_4 \left(\mu^4 - 3H^2 \mu^2 \right) - 4M_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(3H^2 + \mu^2 \right) \right) - 3S_3 S_4 H^4}{12S_1 M_{\rm Pl}^2 - 3S_2 S_4 H^2} ,$ $C_3 = \frac{S_2 \left(3H^2 S_4 \left(\mu^2 - 3H^2 \right) + 2\mu^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 \right) - 3S_3 S_4 H^4}{3 \left(S_2 S_4 H^3 - 4S_1 H M_{\rm Pl}^2 \right)} ,$ $C_4 = \frac{3S_3S_4H^4\mu^2 + 4S_3H^2\mu^2M_{\rm Pl}^2 - H^2\mu^4S_3S_4}{3S_2S_4H^2 - 12S_1M_{\rm Pl}^2},$ $C_5 = \frac{S_3 \left(S_4 \left(9 H^5 - 3 H^3 \mu^2\right) - 2 H \mu^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2\right) + 12 S_4 H \mu^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{12 S_1 M_{\rm Pl}^2 - 3 S_2 S_4 H^2},$ $C_6 = \frac{36S_4\mu^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{4S_1 M_{\rm Pl}^2 - S_2 S_4 H^2},$ $C_{7} = \frac{4\mu^{2}M_{\rm Pl}^{2} \left(9S_{4}H^{2} \left(\mu^{2} - 3H^{2}\right) - 2M_{\rm Pl}^{2} \left(H^{2} - 3\mu^{2}\right)\right)}{S_{2}S_{4}H^{4} - 4S_{1}H^{2}M_{\rm Pl}^{2}},$ $C_8 = 4\mu^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(\frac{6M_{\rm Pl}^2 \left(H^2 - 3\mu^2\right)}{S_2 S_4 H^3 - 4S_1 H M_{\rm Pl}^2} + \frac{S_4 \left(30H^4 - 19H^2 \mu^2 + 3\mu^4\right)}{S_2 S_4 H^3 - 4S_1 H M_{\rm Pl}^2} \right).$

Finally the speed of sound of dark energy perturbations is given by $c_s^2 = \frac{C_7}{C_4}$

The EoM for Φ is

$$\begin{split} &\frac{S_1 S_3}{6S_2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 \mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^6 \Phi - \frac{S_1 S_3}{2S_2} \frac{H}{M_{\rm Pl}^2 \mu^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^4 \dot{\Phi} + \left[\frac{2S_1}{3S_2 H^2} + \frac{S_3 H^2}{6M_{\rm Pl}^2 \mu^2} \left(\frac{3S_1}{S_2} - 1\right) - \frac{S_4}{6M_{\rm Pl}^2}\right] \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^4 \Phi \\ &+ \left[\frac{S_3 H^4}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2 \mu^2} - \frac{2S_1}{3S_2} \frac{\mu^2}{H^2} - \left(1 + \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)\right] \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^2 \Phi + \left[\frac{S_3 H^3}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2 \mu^2} - \frac{1}{3H}\right] \left(\frac{\partial}{a}\right)^2 \dot{\Phi} \\ &+ 3H^2 \Phi + 4H\dot{\Phi} + \ddot{\Phi} = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

For distances $\gg H^{-1}$

$$\Phi \sim c_1(r)e^{-3Ht} + c_2(r)e^{-Ht}$$

Decomposing Φ

$$\Phi = \Phi_{_{\rm GR}} + \Phi_{_{\rm GC}}$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\nabla}{a}\right)^2 \Phi_{\rm GR} = \frac{\rho}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2}.$$

$$S_1 \to S, \quad S_2 \to \frac{5}{3}S, \quad S_3 \to 4S, \quad S_4 \to \frac{11}{3}S. \qquad \alpha = \frac{\mu}{H} \quad \beta = \frac{H}{\gamma} \qquad X = \mu r$$

The time-independent solution ($\partial_T = 0$) satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{27}{5\beta^2}\partial_X^6\Phi_{\rm GC} + \left(\frac{162}{5X} - \frac{81}{5\alpha^2\beta^2}X\right)\partial_X^5\Phi_{\rm GC} + \left(\frac{2\alpha^4}{5} - \frac{612}{5\alpha^2\beta^2} - \frac{33}{4\beta^2}\right)\partial_X^4\Phi_{\rm GC} \\ &+ \left(\frac{27X}{\alpha^4\beta^2} - \frac{\alpha^2X}{3} + \frac{8\alpha^4}{5X} - \frac{828}{5\alpha^2\beta^2X} - \frac{33}{\beta^2X}\right)\partial_X^3\Phi_{\rm GC} + \left(\frac{135}{\alpha^4\beta^2} + \frac{2\alpha^4}{5} - \frac{71\alpha^2}{15} + X^2\right)\partial_X^2\Phi_{\rm GC} \\ &+ \left(\frac{108}{\alpha^4\beta^2X} + \frac{4\alpha^4}{5X} - \frac{112\alpha^2}{15X} + 5X\right)\partial_X\Phi_{\rm GC} + 3\Phi_{\rm GC} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{3} - \frac{27}{\alpha^4\beta^2}\right)\frac{X\partial_X\rho}{2\mu^2M_{\rm Pl}^2} + \left(\frac{17}{5}\alpha^2 - 2\alpha^4 - \frac{27}{\alpha^4\beta^2}\right)\frac{\rho}{2\mu^2M_{\rm Pl}^2}.\end{aligned}$$

• $\rho = 0$



 $\alpha = 4$ $\beta = 2$

 $\frac{\rho}{m^2} = 10^{-11} \exp(-10^{-3} X^2)$



 $\alpha = 4$ $\beta = 2$

• For tensor perturbations, considering the following metric

 $g_{ij} = -2a^2(t)(\delta_{ij} + \mathcal{H}_{ij}), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{H}_{ii} = 0, \quad \partial_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} = 0.$

• The term proportional to σ_1 , $\gamma^{ij} \nabla_i K_{ml} \nabla_j K^{ml}$, adds the following contribution

$$S_{\sigma_1} = -\frac{\sigma_1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \, a^3 \left[a^{-2} \left(\partial_k \dot{\mathscr{H}}_{ij} \right)^2 \right] \, .$$

which in turn modifies the speed of GWs as follows,

$$c_T^2 = \frac{1}{1 - 4\sigma_1 \frac{k^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}}.$$

Only when $k \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\sqrt{|\sigma_1|}}$, one sees a substantial modification to the speed of GWs.

- Subluminal GW requires $\sigma_1 \leq 0$
- $H \leq k \leq M$, where the latter inequality comes from the viability of EFT.

• To see substantial deviation from the luminal propagation of the GWs:

- For $k \sim M \sim 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, |\sigma_1| \sim 10^{60}$
- For $k \sim H \sim 10^{-33} \text{ eV}$, $|\sigma_1| \sim 10^{120}$
- From the LIGO-Virgo observation of the binary neutron star merger GW170817 $-3 \times 10^{-15} < c_T - 1 < 7 \times 10^{-16}$ in the frequency range 10 Hz $\leq f \leq 10$ kHz

$$f \sim 10 \text{ Hz} \implies |\sigma_1| \lesssim 10^{69}$$

$$f \sim 10 \text{ kHz} \implies |\sigma_1| \lesssim 10^{63}$$

Conclusion

• GC is an IR modification of gravity with no UV strong coupling in which the additional d.o.f. acquires a non-standard dispersion relation

• We exorcized the sextic dispersion relation, noting that accelerated expansion of the universe is a recent cosmological phenomenon.

- If the GC field is coupled to SM, the axial coupling gives rise to different dispersion relations for particles and antiparticles.
- Also it produces a spin-dependent scale-independent force.

• The instability at the scales $\mu^{-1} \sim \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{M^2}$ occurs over the timescale $t_c \sim \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^3}{M^4}$

Conclusion

- The potential Φ acquires oscillatory features in the scales μ^{-1} over the time scales t_c
- For the GC with a sextic dispersion relation, in an expanding background, the EoM of Φ_{GC} is governed by the spacetime variations of ρ too.
- The potential acquires oscillatory features on top of $\frac{1}{X}$ behavior.
- The term in the unitary gauge action induces correction to the GWs perturbation, and modifies the speed of GWs as

$$c_T^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma_1 \frac{k^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}}$$

Thanks for your attention!

Coupling of the Ghost Field to the SM particles

• If the ghost field is coupled to the SM fields through the derivative vector coupling:

and for ψ , the symmetry $\psi \to e^{ic_{\psi}\phi/F}\psi$ is broken by the mass term $m_D\psi\psi^c$, then the coupling could be removed.

 $\frac{c_{\psi}}{E} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \partial_{\mu} \phi$

• However the axial coupling

$$\frac{c_{\psi}}{F}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\psi\partial_{\mu}\phi$$

gives rise to different dispersion relation for the particle and anti-particles.

$$\omega = \sqrt{(|p| \pm m_{\text{eff}})^2 + m_D^2} \qquad m_{\text{eff}} \equiv M^2/F$$

plus and negative signs are respectively for the left-helicity particle and right-helicity antiparticles.

Coupling of the Ghost Field to the SM particles

• If the earth is moving with respect to the background where the ghost field is isotropic, the interaction becomes

$$m_{\rm eff} \bar{\psi} \bar{\gamma} \gamma^5 \psi \cdot \vec{v}_{\rm earth} \sim \mu \vec{S} \cdot \vec{v}_{\rm earth}$$

where $|\vec{v}_{earth}| \sim 10^{-3}$. For electrons, $m_{eff} \lesssim 10^{-25}$ GeV and for protons and neutrons, $m_{eff} \lesssim 10^{-24}$ GeV.

• A long range force is expected from the exchange of π , which has the derivative coupling to spin,

$$\Delta \mathscr{L} \sim \frac{1}{F} \overrightarrow{S} \cdot \nabla \pi,$$

• In the limit $\omega \to 0$, and assuming that the sources are static in the $\tau \sim \omega^{-1} \sim M^2 r^3$, there is a spin-dependent but distance-independent force with potential

$$V = \frac{M^4}{32\pi\bar{S}F^2} \left[\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + (\vec{S}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{S}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \right] r.$$