Kinetic Axion from non-minimally coupled PQ field

Enrico Morgante Riccardo Natale



When **non-perturbative effects** appear the initial axion field **may not be aligned** to the actual minimum.







Fig. by «Harigaya et all, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism»

When **non-perturbative effects** appear the initial axion field **may not be aligned** to the actual minimum.



 $\ddot{\Theta} + 3H(T)\dot{\Theta} + m^2(T)\Theta = 0$



Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism

Raymond T. Co[•], ¹ Lawrence J. Hall[•],^{2,3} and Keisuke Harigaya[•] ¹Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ²Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³Theoretical Physics Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁴School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

(Received 22 November 2019; revised manuscript received 6 April 2020; accepted 8 June 2020; published 26 June 2020)

In the conventional misalignment mechanism, the axion field has a constant initial field value in the early Universe and later begins to oscillate. We present an alternative scenario where the axion field has a nonzero initial velocity, allowing an axion decay constant much below the conventional prediction from axion dark matter. This axion velocity can be generated from explicit breaking of the axion shift symmetry in the early Universe, which may occur as this symmetry is approximate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.251802

New perspectives on axion misalignment mechanism

Chia-Feng Chang^{*} and Yanou Cui[†] Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

(Received 18 December 2019; accepted 23 June 2020; published 9 July 2020)

A zero initial velocity of the axion field is assumed in the conventional misalignment mechanism. We propose an alternative scenario where the initial velocity is nonzero, which may arise from an explicit breaking of the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry in the early Universe. We demonstrate that, depending on the specifics of the initial velocity and the time order of the PQ symmetry breaking vs inflation, this new scenario can either enhance or suppress the axion relic abundance relative to the conventional prediction. As a result, new viable parameter regions for axion dark matter may open up.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.015003

If the axion field has an initial **large velocity** it continues to **overcome the potential barrier** without being trapped

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Theta}^2(T_{\rm osc})f^2 > 2m^2(T_{\rm osc})f^2$$

V(θ) Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism

Fig. by «Harigaya et all, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism»

Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism

Raymond T. Co[®], ¹ Lawrence J. Hall[®], ^{2,3} and Keisuke Harigaya[®]⁴ ¹Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA ²Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ³Theoretical Physics Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ⁴School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

(Received 22 November 2019; revised manuscript received 6 April 2020; accepted 8 June 2020; published 26 June 2020)

In the conventional misalignment mechanism, the axion field has a constant initial field value in the early Universe and later begins to oscillate. We present an alternative scenario where the axion field has a nonzero initial velocity, allowing an axion decay constant much below the conventional prediction from axion dark matter. This axion velocity can be generated from explicit breaking of the axion shift symmetry in the early Universe, which may occur as this symmetry is approximate. New perspectives on axion misalignment mechanism

Chia-Feng Chang^{*} and Yanou Cui[†] Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

(Received 18 December 2019; accepted 23 June 2020; published 9 July 2020)

A zero initial velocity of the axion field is assumed in the conventional misalignment mechanism. We propose an alternative scenario where the initial velocity is nonzero, which may arise from an explicit breaking of the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry in the early Universe. We demonstrate that, depending on the specifics of the initial velocity and the time order of the PQ symmetry breaking vs inflation, this new scenario can either enhance or suppress the axion relic abundance relative to the conventional prediction. As a result, new viable parameter regions for axion dark matter may open up.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.251802

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.015003

If the axion field has an initial **large velocity** it continues to **overcome the potential barrier** without being trapped

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Theta}^2(T_{\rm osc})f^2 > 2m^2(T_{\rm osc})f^2$$

V(θ) Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism

Fig. by «Harigaya et all, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism»



 $\dot{\Theta}(T_*) = 2m(T_*)$

Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism



Fig by Eröncel et all «Model implementations of axion dark matter from kinetic misalignment»

How to generate a large axion velocity

Kinetic Misalignment can be generated by **high-order operators** producing an **explicit break of U(1) symmetry**

$$V_{\text{PQ}} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{A\Phi^n}{nM_{\text{Pl}}^{n-3}} + \text{h.c.}$$

How to generate a large axion velocity

Kinetic Misalignment can be generated by **high-order operators** producing an **explicit break of U(1) symmetry**

In order to make this operators relevant the radial mode has to reach **high field values**

- **Quantum Fluctuations** during inflation
- Hubble-Induced mass
- Coupling with the Inflaton



Kinetic Misalignment can be generated by **high-order operators** producing an **explicit break of U(1) symmetry**

In order to make this operators relevant the radial mode has to reach **high field values**

- Quantum Fluctuations during inflation
- Hubble-Induced mass
- Coupling with the Inflaton

2408.17013 Lee, Menkara, Seong, Song
2408.08355 Eröncel, Sato, Servant, Sørensen
2312.17730 Co, Yamada
2310.17710 Lee, Menkara, Seong, Song
2004.00629 Co, Hall, Harigaya, Olive, Verner

Fig. by Gouttenoire et all, «Kination Cosmology from scalar fields and gravitational waves signatures»

Kinetic Axion with NMC PQ field

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{inf}} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi^\dagger - \xi R |\Phi|^2 - V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(|\Phi|) - V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \right]$$

- A non-minimal coupling
- A stiff era

Kinetic Axion with NMC PQ field

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{inf}} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi^\dagger - \xi R |\Phi|^2 - V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(|\Phi|) - V_{\mathrm{PQ}}(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \right]$$

- A non-minimal coupling
- A stiff era

This transition generates a **tachyonic instability**

 $R = 3(1 - 3\omega)H^2$

Fig. by Figueroa et all «Ricci Reheating on the Lattice»

 $m^2 \approx 12 \xi H_I^2$ During Inflation

$$m^2 \propto -H^2$$

After Inflation

PQ Field Dynamics

$$V_{U(1)} = \lambda \left(|\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right)^2$$

$$V_{U(1)} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{A\Phi^n}{nM_{\rm Pl}^{n-3}} + {\rm h.c.}$$

$$\ddot{S} + 3H\dot{S} + (\xi R - \lambda f_a^2)S + \lambda S^3 + 2A\frac{S^{n-1}}{M_{\rm Pl}^{n-3}}\cos(n\theta) = S\dot{\theta}^2$$
$$\ddot{\theta} + 3H\dot{\theta} - 2A\frac{S^{n-2}}{M_{\rm Pl}^{n-3}}\sin(n\theta) = -2\frac{\dot{S}}{S}\dot{\theta}$$

PQ Field Dynamics

$$V_{U(1)} = \lambda \left(|\Phi|^2 - \frac{f_a^2}{2} \right)^2$$

$$V_{U(1)} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{A\Phi^n}{nM_{\rm Pl}^{n-3}} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\begin{split} \ddot{S} + 3H\dot{S} + (\xi R - \lambda f_a^2)S + \lambda S^3 + 2A \frac{S^{n-1}}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{n-3}}\cos(n\theta) &= S\dot{\theta}^2\\ \ddot{\theta} + 3H\dot{\theta} - 2A \frac{S^{n-2}}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{n-3}}\sin(n\theta) &= -2\frac{\dot{S}}{S}\dot{\theta} \end{split}$$

Post Inflationary Kinetic Axion

Because spontaneous symmetry breaking happens after inflation, the axion field takes on different values in separate regions of the universe.

$$\dot{\theta}_{\max} = \beta \sin(n\theta) \frac{AS_{\max}^{n-2}}{M_{\rm Pl}^{n-3} H_{\max}}$$

Post Inflationary Kinetic Axion

Because spontaneous symmetry breaking happens after inflation, the axion field takes on different values in separate regions of the universe.

$$\dot{\theta}_{\max} = \beta \sin(n\theta) \frac{AS_{\max}^{n-2}}{M_{\rm Pl}^{n-3} H_{\max}}$$

Post Inflationary Kinetic Axion

Because spontaneous symmetry breaking happens after inflation, the axion field takes on different values in separate regions of the universe.

Once the kick is applied, the universe becomes **divided into multiple domains**, each carrying a U(1) charge of either **positive** or **negative** sign.

Fig. by Fedderke et all «Periodic Cosmic String Formation and Dynamics»

After the kick is imparted, our field rotates in the U(1) potential and because of Hubble expansion the saxion vev shifts toward the minimum

$$S \propto a^{-1} \longrightarrow V_{U(1)} \propto a^{-n}$$

After the kick is imparted, our field rotates in the U(1) potential and because of Hubble expansion the saxion vev shifts toward the minimum

$$S \propto a^{-1} \longrightarrow V_{U(1)} \propto a^{-n}$$

After a U(1) charge is produced in each domain, this is **conserved** in a comoving volume

$$n_{\theta} = i(\Phi \dot{\Phi}^{\dagger} - \Phi^{\dagger} \dot{\Phi}) = S^2 \dot{\theta}_{\theta} \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (a^3 n_{\theta}) = 0$$

After the kick is imparted, our field rotates in the U(1) potential and because of Hubble expansion the saxion vev shifts toward the minimum

$$S \propto a^{-1} \longrightarrow V_{U(1)} \propto a^{-n}$$

After a U(1) charge is produced in each domain, this is **conserved** in a comoving volume

$$n_{\theta} = i(\Phi \dot{\Phi}^{\dagger} - \Phi^{\dagger} \dot{\Phi}) = S^2 \dot{\theta}_{\pm} \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (a^3 n_{\theta}) = 0$$

We can compute the RMS of the produced charge averaging on several domains inside a Hubble patch

$$\bar{n}_{\theta} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{i} \, n_{\theta,\text{dom}}^{2}(\theta_{i})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

At the trapping moment, the U(1) charge is converted into **axion number density**

$$n_a \approx 2|n_\theta$$

Fig. by «Harigaya et all, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism»

At the trapping moment, the U(1) charge is converted into **axion number density**

$$n_a \approx 2|n_\theta|$$

So, we can compute the **axion yield** and the **total relic abundance**

$$\bar{Y}_{\theta} = 167 \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{0.5}\right) \left(\frac{10}{g_s}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{10^{-10}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$\frac{h^2 \Omega_{\theta,0}}{h^2 \Omega_{\rm CDM}} \approx \left(\frac{m_a}{5 \times 10^{-3} \,\text{eV}}\right) \left(\frac{Y_\theta}{40}\right)$$

Fig. by «Harigaya et all, Axion Kinetic Misalignment Mechanism»

The saxion can realize different **couplings** to SM and BSM particles:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset yS\bar{\chi}\left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}\right)\chi + h.c. \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L} \supset \lambda_{\rm SH}\left(S^2 - f_a^2\right)\left(H^{\dagger}H - \frac{v_{\rm EW}^2}{2}\right)$$

The saxion can realize different **couplings** to SM and BSM particles:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset yS\bar{\chi}\left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}\right)\chi + h.c. \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L} \supset \lambda_{\rm SH}\left(S^2 - f_a^2\right)\left(H^{\dagger}H - \frac{v_{\rm EW}^2}{2}\right)$$

If there is no radiation bath, the decay of the saxion is the actual reaheating of the universe

$$T_{\rm reh} = \begin{cases} 8.2 \times 10^9 \,\text{GeV} \, \left(\frac{106}{g_{\rm reh}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{y}{10^{-2}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{10^{-12}}\right)^{1/4} & \text{if} \quad S \gg f_a \\ 5.3 \times 10^7 \,\,\text{GeV} \, \left(\frac{106}{g_{\rm reh}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{y}{10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{10^{-12}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^9 \,\,\text{GeV}}\right)^2 & \text{if} \quad S = f_a \end{cases}$$

Reheating via fermions charged under SU(3)

$$T_R = 10^6 \,\text{GeV}\,\left(\frac{100}{g}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{10^{-10}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^9 \,\text{GeV}}\right)$$

Reheating via SM Higgs

- 1. A **non-minimal coupling** combined to a transition **Inflation-Kination** is a good mechanism to drive your NMC field to high values.
- 2. Higher order operators, **violating U(1)**, may become relevant and produce an **angular rotation**.
- 3. This U(1) charge is then converted into **axions** at the trapping temperature.
- 4. The saxion can decay and be responsible of standard model reheating.
- 5. (Bonus) A rich phenomenology of **cosmic strings** and **gravitational waves** come out, because of the presence of a kination era and counter rotating domains.

- 1. A **non-minimal coupling** combined to a transition **Inflation-Kination** is a good mechanism to drive your NMC field to high values.
- 2. Higher order operators, **violating U(1)**, may become relevant and produce an **angular rotation**.
- 3. This U(1) charge is then converted into **axions** at the trapping temperature.
- 4. The saxion can decay and be responsible of standard model reheating.
- 5. (Bonus) A rich phenomenology of **cosmic strings** and **gravitational waves** come out, because of the presence of a kination era and counter rotating domains.

Thank you!