

Swampland, Stringy de Sitter, and the Measure Problem

Arthur Hebecker (Heidelberg)

with focus on recent work with Friedrich / Walcher / Westphal

Outline

- The flux landscape, the Swampland, and Stringy de Sitter
- Problems of KKLT/LVS. Can stringy quintessence help?
- The measure problem: a re-evaluation in view of 'Rocky' and 'Swampy' landscapes
- The (potentially) key role of the Cobordism Conjecture and End-of-the-Worlds branes
- Towards predictions....


String Compactifications

- String theory provides an (essentially unique) and UV-complete field theory in 10d:

$$S_{IIB} = \int_{10} \mathcal{R} - |F_{\mu\nu\rho}|^2 + \dots$$

[Let's say type IIB, to be concrete.]


- Compactifying on **Calabi-Yau-Orientifolds**, one preserves $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY and (classically) zero 4d cosmological constant.
- The extra ingredient of **fluxes** induces an **exponentially large** landscape of **discrete** solutions.



Bousso/Polchinski, Giddings/Kachru/Polchinski, Denef/Douglas '04

String compactifications: flux landscape



- One usually visualizes the emerging situation as follows:
(just with $\varphi \rightarrow \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N\}$)



- But this picture jumps very far ahead.
- So far we only stabilized the **shape** ('complex structure') moduli.
- **Classically**, the **size** ('Kähler') moduli remain flat and the CC of all vacua is zero.

String compactifications: beyond leading order


- The size moduli (let's say just the **volume**) get a (much smaller) potential from **quantum corrections**.
- All known effects are of exponential **runaway** type.
- Two such effects can give **SUSY-AdS**.
- It takes a conspiracy between **at least three** 'runaway potentials' to get **meta-stable de-Sitter vacua**.



The historical prime example: KKLT

Kachru/Kalosh/Linde/Trivedi


- Assume there is just one of flat direction: **the volume**.
- Its potential comes from a non-perturbative effect:



⇒ $W = W_0 + e^{-T}$, (where W_0 is the previous flux effect)

⇒ $V \sim e^{-2T} - |W_0|e^{-T}$



⇒ Kahler modulus stabilized
(controlled for $W_0 \ll 1$).



- This AdS model is 'Step 1' of KKLT.

KKLT (continued)

- 'Step 2' involves 'uplifting' to dS by adding an **anti-D3-brane**.
- This requires a 'strongly warped region' or 'Klebanov-Strassler throat' (realized by introducing a large amount of flux in an appropriate (conifold) region of the CY).
- Eventually, one may hope for the desired potential:



But:

Full explicitness has remained elusive for technical reasons.

The swampland (counter?) revolution and the dS conjecture

- This, and some important variants (like 'LVS') has remained the main evidence for 'stringy dS'.
- No analogues in type-I, IIA, heterotic, 11d SUGRA were found.
- Based on this, it has been proposed that **stringy dS is impossible as a matter of principle** ('is in the Swampland').

Danielsson/Van Riet; Obied/Ooguri/Spodyneiko/Vafa '18
(see also Bena, Grana, Sethi, Dvali,)

- Subsequently, constructions like KKLT and LVS have been subjected to intense scrutiny (with varying success).

Bena/Grana/Van Riet, Van Riet, Moritz/Retolaza/Westphal, Gautason/
Van Hemelryck/Van Riet, Hamada/AH/Shiu/Soler, Bena/Dudas/Grana/Lüst,
Lüst/Randall, ...

Eventually, serious problems were identified:

- To get a small uplift, throat must be very large (including 'thick')

Carta/Moritz/Westphal '19

- This leads to strong warping in bulk and 'Singular Bulk Problem'

Gao/AH/Junghans '20

- Simplest KKLT-models become uncontrolled; Very large tadpole needed for LVS


Junghans; Gao/AH/Schreyer/Venken '22

- Including effects of curvature at the bottom of the throat makes this much worse

Junghans '22

AH/Schreyer/Venken '22

Venken/Schreyer, Venken ...'24



Crucial aside:

(Stringy) Quintessence:

- In a nutshell: It does not help!
(in spite of **many** attempts...)

Selection of older and recent work: Cicoli/Pedro/Tasinato/Burgess;
Cicoli/DeAlwis/Maharana/Muia/Quevedo; Acharya/Maharana/Muia;
Emelin/Tatar; Hardy/Parameswaran; Cicoli/Cunillera/Padilla/Pedro;

- One (in my opinion key) argument goes as follows:

(cf. '**F-Term Problem**' in AH/Skrzypek/Wittner '19)

Our world has SUSY broken at TeV, i.e.

$$|F|^2 \sim e^K |DW|^2 \sim \text{TeV}^4$$

(This part of the superpotential can **not** be rolling to zero
– we would see that!)



What's the status of the 'dS landscape'?:

- Maybe it's there as expected, just in a more complex and less controlled form.... McAllister/Moritz/Nally/Schachner
...maybe we need an ' F -term-uplift' to replace the anti-D3....
...AH/Leonhardt; Krippendorf/Schachner; Lanza/Westphal....
- Maybe string pheno must be completely rethought.
- **Very likely:** Stringy dS (or even just slow-roll) vacua are more fine-tuned and rare than expected.


The measure problem

- In any case, the landscape is not likely to become a single vacuum.

⇒ 'Measure problem' (or 'initial condition problem')
is still there. Let's revisit it!

Measure problem and potentially decisive role of creation processes

- Standard view: Different vacua \rightarrow different patches in 'global dS multiverse'. Measure problem \equiv problem of cutoff choice.



- Based on the 'Cosmological Central Dogma',
we want to argue for a more
fundamental, quantum-mechanical measure.

Banks '01, Susskind '21


Friedrich/AH/Salmhofer/Strauss/Walcher '22,
Friedrich/AH/Westphal '24

Towards a 'Quantum-Measure'

- **Cosmological Central Dogma:**

dS space is a finite system with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = e^S$.

- Eternal Inflation \equiv Series of transitions between different subspaces (with $\dim(\mathcal{H}_i) = e^{S_i}$).



The 'Local Wheeler-DeWitt Measure'

Friedrich/AH/Salmhofer/Strauss/Walcher '22,
Friedrich/AH/Westphal '24

- To formalize this 'CCD' perspective, the right approach should be the Wheeler-DeWitt equation.
- Upon gauging time-diffeomorphisms, one has

$$H\psi = i\dot{\psi} \quad \rightarrow \quad H\psi = 0$$

- In our context, the WDW equation needs a source:


$$H\psi = \chi$$

- Such a source term for the creation from nothing is **unavoidable** since there is also decay to AdS.



The 'Local Wheeler-DeWitt Measure'

- Formally, we have to solve $H\psi = \chi$ for ψ and calculate the probability for vacuum dS_i as $p_i = \|\psi|_i\|^2$.
- In practice, this reduces to rate equations for a 'flow through the landscape':



(Here any of the ' dS_i ' could also be just a slow-roll plateau)

The outcome is similar to certain 'local measures': Bousso/Freivogel/Yang '06, Garriga/Vilenkin.. '05...'11, Nomura '11, Bousso/Susskind '11, Hartle/Hertog '16

'Local Wheeler-DeWitt Measure' (continued)

- Denote the sources by J_i and the decay rates by $\Gamma_{i \rightarrow j}$.
- Then the relevant rate equations read

$$J_i = \sum_{j \in dS} (p_i \Gamma_{i \rightarrow j} - p_j \Gamma_{j \rightarrow i}) + p_i \sum_{y \in \text{Terminal}} \Gamma_{i \rightarrow y} .$$



- The solution can be given as a series:

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\Gamma_i} \left\{ J_i + \sum_j J_j \frac{\Gamma_{j \rightarrow i}}{\Gamma_j} + \sum_{j,k} J_j \frac{\Gamma_{j \rightarrow k}}{\Gamma_j} \frac{\Gamma_{k \rightarrow i}}{\Gamma_k} + \dots \right\}$$

(Here Γ_i is the total decay rate of vacuum i .)



A conceptual problem: Reheating to Minkowski

- As long as there are only dS and AdS vacua (and a non-zero rate for creation from nothing), finiteness is obvious.
- There is a sensitivity to the number of observers on the horizon-sized patch of the reheating surface.
But we ignore this (non-exponential) effect.
- However, this changes once we include Minkowski-bubbles:
Now we get an **infinite** reheating surface and
no 'natural' cutoff at the horizon:



Our proposal:

- Appeal to an ‘**Effective CCD**’, based on the **similarity** of the **reheating surfaces** in dS and Minkowski:



- **Claim:** Even in Minkowski only a **finite portion** of the surface ($\sim 1/H_{\text{reh}}^3$) is independent – the rest is gauge redundant.


⇒ Finiteness is regained

Alternative possibility:

- Take infinite Minkowski-space reheating surfaces seriously.
⇒ Key prediction: **The dark energy in our universe will decay**
– **our future is Minkowski space.**


Towards explicit predictions

- To solve the rate equations, we obviously need **transition rates**.
- But, in addition, our **local** measure crucially depends on **creation rates**. These depend on **End-of-the-World** branes:




'No-Boundary'

Hartle/Hawking
Linde/Vilenkin



'Bubble-of-Something'

Hawking/Turok
Bousso/Chamblin
Garriga, Blanco-Pillado, ...



['Boundary proposal']

[Friedrich/AH]


[Cf. recent discussion of 'Bubble of Something' for String Landscape in Friedrich/AH/Walcher '23. Also, much recent work on inverse 'Bubble of Nothing' process: Garcia-Etxebarria/Montero/Sousa/Valenzuela, Draper et al., Angius/Calderon-Infante/Delgado/Huertas/Uranga,]

ETW Branes


- Traditionally, Hartle-Hawking/Linde-Vilenkin are the leading proposals; alternatives with ETW branes are at best exotic.
- I would argue that the 'Cobordism Conjecture' is one of the most convincing Swampland conjectures; It implies that ETW branes are **ubiquitous**. McNamara/Vafa '19

[The conjecture roughly says that the space of geometries is connected. This includes the connection to 'nothing' by a boundary.]


- Rates depend on brane-tensions. Getting those is a challenge...



'No-Boundary'









'Bubble-of-Something'



'Boundary proposal'

- Explicitly, creation rates are: $J \sim \exp(\pm \mathcal{S})$ with:

	No-Boundary (nb)	Bubble of Something (bos)	Boundary (b)
			
			
$\mathcal{S} =$	$-8\pi^2 M_P^2 \ell_{dS}^2$	$-4\pi^2 M_P^2 \ell_{dS}^2 \left(1 - \frac{T \ell_{dS}}{\sqrt{T^2 \ell_{dS}^2 + 4M_P^4}} \right)$	$-8\pi^2 M_P^2 \ell_{dS}^2 \sqrt{\frac{T^2 \ell_{dS}^2}{T^2 \ell_{dS}^2 + 4M_P^4}}$

\Rightarrow For LV sign choice, the 'bos'/'b' creation processes **always dominate** over 'nb' when the required ETW branes exist.

Summary / Conclusions

- The problem of realizing (metastable) de Sitter vacua in string theory remains unsolved.
- One is forced to dive into technical details. This is unsatisfactory. But it's one possibility for making progress
- Either way (with or without long-lived dS), predictions need a measure.
- I argued that, in a proper quantum approach, this is sensitive to 'Creation from Nothing'.
- A key 'new' ingredient in this are ETW branes, allowing for 'Bubbles of something' or 'boundary processes'.
- The tensions of those ETW branes are a key research target!