## Rich dark sectors: from the neutrino portal to gravitational waves

## 26 May 2025

#### Planck 2025 Centro Culturale Altinate San Gaetano, Padova

## Silvia Pascoli



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM Università di Bologna



#### Evidence beyond the SM

There is evidence that the Standard Model is incomplete: three pillars (plus maybe GW?).





The ultimate goal is to unveil the New SM that would explain these pieces of evidence. What is the new physics scale? I will focus on neutrinos as our guide.

## Neutrino have masses

#### Neutrinos oscillate

Mixing between flavour and mass basis is described by the *Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata* matrix:

$$\nu_{\textcircled{}} = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} \nu_{\textcircled{}} \qquad \text{Mass field}$$
Flavour field  $\checkmark$   $i$  the CC interactions  
 $\mathcal{L}_{CC} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k\alpha} (U_{\alpha k}^* \bar{\nu}_{kL} \gamma^{\rho} l_{\alpha L} W_{\rho} + \text{h.c.})$ 

The probability of oscillation is

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \left| \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} e^{i \frac{\Delta m_{i1}^{2} L}{2E}} \right|^{2}$$

Neutrino oscillations imply that neutrinos have mass and mix.

#### NuFIT 6.0 (2024)

	Normal Orde	ring $(\Delta \chi^2 = 0.6)$	Inverted Ordering (best fit)		
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	$3\sigma$ range	
$\sin^2  heta_{12}$	$0.307\substack{+0.012\\-0.011}$	$0.275 \rightarrow 0.345$	$0.308\substack{+0.012\\-0.011}$	$0.275 \rightarrow 0.345$	
$ heta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.68^{+0.73}_{-0.70}$	$31.63 \rightarrow 35.95$	$33.68^{+0.73}_{-0.70}$	$31.63 \rightarrow 35.95$	
$\sin^2  heta_{23}$	$0.561\substack{+0.012\\-0.015}$	0.430  ightarrow 0.596	$0.562\substack{+0.012\\-0.015}$	0.437  ightarrow 0.597	
$ heta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$48.5_{-0.9}^{+0.7}$	$41.0 \rightarrow 50.5$	$48.6^{+0.7}_{-0.9}$	$41.4 \rightarrow 50.6$	
$\sin^2  heta_{13}$	$0.02195\substack{+0.00054\\-0.00058}$	$0.02023 \rightarrow 0.02376$	$0.02224\substack{+0.00056\\-0.00057}$	0.02053  o 0.02397	
$ heta_{13}/^\circ$	$8.52_{-0.11}^{+0.11}$	$8.18 \rightarrow 8.87$	$8.58_{-0.11}^{+0.11}$	$8.24 \rightarrow 8.91$	
$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	$177^{+19}_{-20}$	$96 \rightarrow 422$	$285^{+25}_{-28}$	$201 \rightarrow 348$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \ \mathrm{eV}^2}$	$7.49_{-0.19}^{+0.19}$	$6.92 \rightarrow 8.05$	$7.49_{-0.19}^{+0.19}$	$6.92 \rightarrow 8.05$	
$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$	$+2.534_{-0.023}^{+0.025}$	$+2.463 \rightarrow +2.606$	$-2.510\substack{+0.024\\-0.025}$	$-2.584 \rightarrow -2.438$	

- 2 mass squared differences
- 3 sizable mixing angles,
- mild hints of CPV

5

mild indications in favour of NO

M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia et al., 2410.05380 <u>http://www.nu-fit.org/</u>

#### Neutrinos: Open window on Physics BSM

## Neutrinos give a new perspective on physics BSM. I. Origin of masses 2. Problem of flavour



Why neutrinos have mass? and why are they so much lighter than the other fermions? and why their hierarchy is at most mild?  $\begin{pmatrix} \sim 1 & \lambda & \lambda^{\circ} \\ \lambda & \sim 1 & \lambda^{2} \\ \lambda^{3} & \lambda^{2} & \sim 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda \sim 0.2$  $\begin{pmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.16 \\ -0.4 & 0.5 & -0.7 \\ -0.4 & 0.5 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Why leptonic mixing is so different from quark mixing?

#### Neutrino masses Beyond SM

## In the SM, neutrinos do not acquire mass and mixing. Dirac Masses

If we introduce a right-handed neutrino, then an interaction with the Higgs boson emerges.

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_{\nu}\bar{L}\cdot\tilde{H}\nu_R + \text{h.c.} \quad \longrightarrow \quad m_D = y_{\nu}v = Vm_{\text{diag}}U^{\dagger}$$

This term is SU(2) invariant and respects lepton number.

 $y_{\nu} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2m_{\nu}}}{v_{H}} \sim \frac{0.2 \text{ eV}}{200 \text{ GeV}} \sim 10^{-12}$ 

- why the coupling is so small???
- why the leptonic mixing angles are large?
- why neutrino masses have at most a mild hierarchy?
- why no Majorana mass term for RH neutrinos? We need to impose L as a fundamental symmetry.

#### Majorana Masses

Introduce a Dimension 5 operator (or allow new scalar fields, e.g. a triplet):



Minkowski, Yanagida, Glashow, Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky, Ma, Mohapatra, Senjanovic, Magg, Wetterich, Lazarides, Shafi, Schecter, Valle, Hambye...

This term breaks lepton number and induces Majorana masses and Majorana neutrinos. It can be induced by a high energy theory (see-saw mechanism).

## Neutrino masses BSM: "vanilla" see saw mechanism type I



As a result, neutrinos can have naturally small masses and are Majorana particles.

# What is the new physics scale?

Are there new: symmetries? particles? interactions?

#### New physics scale: High Energy frontier and above



Despite intense searches in colliders, flavour and DM exp, no hints of TeV new physics have been found.

#### Going low in energy: Dark sectors

A change of paradigm might be needed: new physics may be light but hidden because too weakly interacting (dark or hidden sectors).

eV	keV	MeV	GeV	TeV	Intermediate scale	GUT scale	
----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--------------------	-----------	--

Low E See-saw models, NuMSM, extended see-saw...

Lowering the Yukawa couplings or imposing L quasiconservation in extended models, it is possible to lower the N (HNL) mass scale.

#### The dark sector can interact with SM via portals:



See e.g. Artuso et al., 2210.04765, S. Gori et al, 2209.04671, FIPs 2022 report, 2305.01715



After symmetry breakings, neutrinos can mix with HNLs and dark fermions.

## Changing the paradigm

## **Rich dark sectors**



#### A rich dark sector



Where does the new mass scale come from? It can be generated dynamically from a scalar that acquires a vev, possibly induced by EW SSB.

#### A concrete example: the 3-portal model

The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sin \chi}{2} X_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi) - V(\Phi) - \lambda_{\Phi H} |H|^{2} |\Phi|^{2} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} i \partial \!\!\!/ \widehat{\nu}_{N} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{D}} i D \!\!\!/_{X} \widehat{\nu}_{D} - \left[ (\overline{L} \widetilde{H}) Y \widehat{\nu}_{N}^{c} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} Y_{L} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{L}}^{c} \Phi \right] + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} Y_{R} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{R}} \Phi^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} M_{N} \widehat{\nu}_{N}^{c} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{D_{L}}} M_{X} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{R}} + \text{h.c.}$$

A. Abdullahi, M. Hostert, SP, 2007.11813

The model is anomaly free thanks to the inclusion of two dark neutrinos with opposite charges. Other possibilities can also be considered (adding sub-GeV DM).

Focus on a scale of MeV-GeV:

$$v_{\phi}, m_{Z'} \sim \text{GeV}.$$

#### Tests of dark sectors: HNL searches

In minimal models, HNL production and decay is controlled by the mixing with neutrinos:  $\sin^2 \sim m_{\nu}/M$ .



#### Search for these HNL? "A la beam dump" experiments





Future exp such as DUNE ND, SHiP, FCC might approach the "see-saw region" in certain mass ranges. The typical search is for displaced vertices. In RDS models, HNL production is controlled by mixing or other portal (e.g. B-L gauge coupling) and decay can be fast due to the internal DS dynamics.



Fast visible and invisible decays

HNL decay bounds need
to be reevaluated
and they may not apply
(e.g. beam dump experiments).



#### New exp signatures

## Up-scattering of an HNL N in the detector and its decay into ee (mumu) nu.



This can provide an explanation of the MiniBooNE low-E excess.



(b) Dual dark neutrino scenario,  $\Delta = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon = 8 \times 10^{-4}$ .

MicroBooNE collaboration, 2502.109000

Current (microBooNE, SBN, T2K) and future (T2HK, DUNE) neutrino facilities c a n e x p l o r e t h e corresponding parameter space.



Abdullahi, Hostert, Hoefken Zink, Massaro, SP, 2308.02543



## Dark scalars and photons: FOPT and PTA GWs

G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav), 2306.16213; J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA, InPTA:), 2306.16214; D. J. Reardon et al., 2306.16215; H. Xu et al., 2306.16216.

In 2023, evidence was reported of nanoHertz stochastic GW background by PTA experiments. A FOPT provides a possible explanation. See also, S. Balan et al., 2502.19478; J. Goncalves et al., 2501.11619



A FOPT proceeds via nucleation of bubble of true vacuum. They grow ultimately filling all the Universe.

The evolution of the PT needs to be very slow (supercooled PT) and this sets important requirements on the parameters of the model. We consider a U(I) extension with scalar for SBB:

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_{\mu}\phi)^{*} (D^{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi^{*}\phi) - \frac{1}{4}Z'_{\mu\nu}Z'^{\mu\nu}$$



Costa et al., 2501.15649

 $V = -\mu_{\phi}^2 \phi^* \phi + \lambda_{\phi} \left(\phi^* \phi\right)^2$ 

$$g_D^{\text{roll}} = \left\{ \frac{16\pi^2 \lambda_\phi}{3} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda_\phi}{8\pi^2} \left( 5 + 2\log 2 \right) \right] \right\}^{1/4}$$

For g<sub>roll</sub> there is a barrier between the two vacua at zero T.

Between g<sub>max</sub> and g<sub>min</sub> the FOPT completes.



## Conclusions

#### We know that the SM incomplete (3 pillars+ GW?). What is the New SM?

Many new ideas exploring different mass scales: neutrino masses, sterile neutrinos, DM, axions, dark sectors,...



## Conclusions

#### We know that the SM incomplete (3 pillars+ GW?). What is the New SM?

Many new ideas exploring different mass scales: neutrino masses, sterile neutrinos, DM, axions, dark sectors,...



Maybe the new physics is just round the corner and might have a complex structure as the SM, with important phenomenological implications for their searches. Neutrinos play a key role in their exploration.