Solving the unit commitment problems with quantum annealers.

Filippo Orazi, Stefano Lodi, Claudio Sartori, Sara Marzella, Daniele Ottaviani, Daniele Montanari, Marco Francesconi,

3/06/25

Background Quantum annealing

Quantum annealing

The technique used to solve optimization problems with a quantum system that evolves based on the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

The quantum system is prepared in the known ground state of an initial Hamiltonian H_{init} and is changed over time into H_{final}

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・



Based on the adiabatic theorem:

a system stays in an instantaneous ground state if change happens sufficiently slowly.

The formulation is built so that:

$$H(t/t_{max}) = A(t/t_{max})H_{init} + B(t/t_{max})H_{final}$$

Hamiltonian H_{final} encodes optimization problem Functions A and B satisfy A(0) >> B(0) and A(1) << B(1)

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Background Unit commitment problem

Unit commitment problem

The unit Commitment (UC) problem involves optimizing the scheduling of power generators to either minimize production costs or maximize revenue.

Vast family of problems: many different aspects of the scheduling can be considered.

(日)

Problem Formulation

Constrained optimization

The UC problem is a **continuous variable constrained optimization** problem. Most instances of this problem include three aspects:

- **Time**: each optimization is done in a time window with discrete time steps.
- Generators: the targets of the optimization.
- Forecast: requirement or conditions for the energy production.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 > -

The problem we choose is a **prosumer's earning optimization** problem:

Instead of a network we modeled the activity of a single small prosumer like a residential building. This model takes into consideration added details:

- Green energy production.
- Batteries for energy storage.
- Fixed consumption and production.
- Financial incentives.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Problem formulation

Generators

Each **generator** k is represented by the set

- Energy produced $(E_k(t))$.
- Unitary production cost (cost_k).
- Minimum energy produced (E_k^{min}) .
- Maximum energy produced (E_k^{nom}) .
- Maximum energy produced at time $t (E_k^{max}(t))$.

く 戸 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Problem formulation

Forecast

The forecasts predict energy market prices:

- unitary selling price $(P^{vend}(t))$.
- Unitary buying price $(P^{acqu}(t))$.

э

(日)

Problem formulation Batteries

Each **battery** *a* is represented by the set

- Energy stored at time $t (E_a(t))$.
- Energy discharged at time $t (E_a^s(t))$.
- Energy charged at time $t (E_a^c(t))$.
- Maximum energy capacity (E_a^{max}) .

. . .

• • = • • = •

Problem formulation Objective function

The end goal of optimization is to maximize prosumer earnings based on the forecast. To achieve this we create a cost function:

$$\sum_{t}^{T} \left(E^{vend} P^{vend} + E^{inc} P^{inc} - E^{acqu} P^{acqu} - \sum_{k}^{K} \operatorname{cost}_{k} E_{k}(t) \right)$$

And we selected the variables $E_k(t)$, $E_a^s(t)$, and $E_a^c(t)$ to be the **target** variable to optimize.

Problem formulation

Constraints

Finally, to obtain a reasonable solution we need to set some constraints to this problem:

- Range (max and min).
- Charge and discharge.
- Sell and buy.
- Incentives.
- Zero sum.

A B A A B A

Once we have our constrained model, we need to change that formulation to one that can be embedded in a quantum annealer. To do so we follow these steps:

- Create a **binary representation** of the continuous variables.
- Rewrite the objective function on the basis of the new variables.
- Rewrite each constraint on the basis of the new variables.
- Add the new constraints to the objective function using **hyperparameters**.

• • = • • = •

The number of time slots T greatly influences the complexity.

T is a multiplication factor for both the number of constraints (9 constraints for each t) and the number of variables (75 new variables each t).

Real-life scenarios time-slots of 15 minutes over 24 hours (96 time slots).



- The binarization of big values creates combinations of variables (QUBO matrix entries) with different values.
- Adding the constraints to the objective function through hyperparameters changes these values as well.
- Optimizing a problem where weights can be between 1 and 10^6 is extremely difficult.

(日)

We performed experiments with multiple techniques.

- Dwave quantum annealer.
- Dwave hybrid solver.
- Simulated annealing.

э

• • = • • = •

Numerical results

Simulated annealing

Test with 80 periods and 1 battery:

Tin	e Used:	4115.83	74 secon	105													
(60	.0, 12.	0, 2.0,	140.0, 2	.0, 0.00	5, 15.0,	900.0,	(9.0)										
i la	Lates a	4 constr	aints as	th baran	Cel - Los	011	100000.										
											-4.000000e-01			1188.6730			
											2.400000e+00			1147.3920	0.000		
											-2.4000002+00		1.9945	1232.6010	0,000	556.4	
											8.000000e-01			1149.9840	0.000		
										586.4	-1.140000e+01		1.9914	1145.0550	0.000	586.4	
											1.20000000+00			1336.8090	0.000		
											-7.6000000+00	1.3324		1639.6772	10.780		
						32.0				79910	9.200000000000			1980.0728	35.200		
	185.0					268.2			268.2	908.8	4.000000e-01			1557.1172	295.020	908.8	
						283.8					6.000000e-01			1630.7200	312.180		
						535.6				1045.4	-6.0000008-01	1.4686	2.4000	1233.2832	589.588	568.4	
	400.0					57818	proo	396.6	37618		-4.0000002-01	1.1564	2.1564	981.3752	636.000		
								420.6	405.6		0.000000000000	1.0052	2.0052	1048.7196	449.400		185
	11910					29410	proo	400.4	29410	904.0	-4.0000000-01	1.0024	2.0024	1275.5200	324.000	932.0	
						200.0	prod	368.0	366.4		1.6000000+00			1222.7904	425.040		154
	127.00					200.0	prou	277.2	2444.2	307.0	-2.4000000+00	1.2000	2.2079	1695.3559	260.020	923-10	
	127.0					202.0		1/3.6	202.0	963.6	-2.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.9400	2.3400	102010014	107 100	919.6	1.22
	00.0				0.00	22.2	100			0000.0	0.000000000000	1.000	2.4400	2000-7700	107.500	998440	
								10.0		901.2	1.1900000-19	1.0550	2.0000	2007.3000	15.620	901.2	
i.	0.0				1020		100	0.0		(12.0	2.2000000101	1.3000	2.9077	2002.0000	0.000	994.44	
	0.0				042		prod	0.0	0.0	043.2	-1.2000000+00	1.0000	2.1000	1100.2000	0.000	011.2	
							aread	0.0		648.8	-2.0000000-01	1.0221	2.0221	1292.1219	0.000	576.8	
					640		-	0.0		639.6	9 4000000+00	1 0670	2.0520	1222 0000	0.000	501 6	
2					647					607.4	-4.000000-01	1.0070	3.0678	1322 10000	0.000	607.6	
č.						17.6	0004		17.0	668.9	-1 2000004+01	1 1316	2 1216	1367 0936	19 200	660 0	
2	11.0								15.0	714 8	0.000000-01	1,4030	3 4030	100210000	17, 380	714 8	
	47.0					79.6	0000	126.0	79.0	789.6	4 0000004-01	1 4619	2 4619	1758 4189	86 999	789.6	- ii
	411.0					514.5	ared	142.0	514.2	957.4	-2.00000004-01	1,4000	2,4000	1075 2000	565 670	000 4	01
	114.0				448	456.1	ared	566.0	466.8	914.4	4-0000004-01	1.2918	2,2918	1026.7264	511,450	914.4	112
	619.0					797.0	and	710.0	797 8	1015 8	-2 0000000+00	1.1854	2 1854	472 0464	877 588	052 8	150
	767.0							743.6	045.0	1075.0	-6 1000004+00	1 1540	3 1545	273 6733	1040 100	000 0	1.11
						472.6	200				1 200000+00			1303 8888	604 882	926.4	
	633.0					700 0	pr co	750.0	708.6	1057.4	-2 000000+-00	1 0601	3.0601	577 3056	979.460	033.4	

time = 4115.8 s constraint violated = 3.3% (24/720)

Orazi et al.

3

イロン イ理 とくほとう ほとう

Numerical results

Quantum annealer

Test with 2 periods and 0 batteries:

Best chain_strength found: 1 and annealing_time = 20 Time Used: 7.9846 seconds Parameters: (100.0, 100.0, 100.0, 100.0, 100.0, 0.1, 100.0, 500.0, 100.0) Violated 3 constraints with balance: -869.4837000000002 F vend E_acqu pen_inc scelta inc max_p gen0 E prodotta \ 294.0 409 684.4 cons 358.0 294.0 292.0 445 292.0 292.0 E consumata netto energia costo(t) ricavo(t) CF(t) \ 684.4 1.0328 2.2328 913.2152 752.84 684.4 741.6 1030.3085 321.20 741.6 0.0 0.0

Broken chain = 100/100 (avg chain break: 0.9814)

э

Numerical results

Hybrid solution

Test with 80 periods and 1 battery:

Total Time Used (wall clock): 72.410 seconds Suplue provided out then: 10003346 ar															
Sampler "Piperteel rangeland" sweatche me															
QVI STCCES TIRE: 250492 HS															
MALE LINK LINE (reported by Subject): drA as															
(Birly, 17, 1, 2, 3, 1990, 2, 3, 0, 005, 15, 0, 900, 00, 01, 01, 01)															
AIDIACO 22 CONSTRAINTS MICH DALANCE: -1403442.40429090002															
					contra tar			f. concentration	and the second in						
- 44			464	100000	need a	111 J 101	4.0	014 A	1 (200000100	1 0147	1.0147	1221 4422	0.0000	500.4	
			000	0.0	prod		0.0	004.4	1.0000000100	2.0147	2.0147	1021.0402	411 00000	100.0	0.0
					la ser							1017.4170	17.114.00		
			572	0.0	prog		0.6	574.4	4.69899900 81	0.0045	1.0040	1140.3540	8,6666	550.4	6.8
					hung				-1.1208889+01	0.9955	1.9905	14240.21115	101.148630		
			586		prod	0.0		586.4	-4.6899996c-81	0.9914	1.9954	1166.0684	0.0000	586.4	
								630.8	1.200808+00			1336.8898	0.0000	638.8	
								720.4	4,6899999c-81			1658.3364	19,7800		
									1.200000+00			1815.0180	90.8000		
				200.2	prod	182.4		998.8	4,0999990-81	1,4292	2,4292	1593,6748	319,2200	968.8	
					prod			1017.2	-1.400000+00	1.5888	2.5468	1011.1088	681,7800		
				221 8	read	378.8		058.4	4 6999999-01	1 4925	3 4995	1534 3783	364 0000	058.4	158.8
				800 0	and a	306.0	A80.0	1001.7	-1 4000000400	1 1584	2 1564	797 1610	759 7808	007.3	170 0
			601	220 6	pros	479.9	224.6	021.6	0.0000001100	1 0000	3 6953	1305 1050	262 6600	021.6	105 4
			214		prod	420.0		011.0	1. 100000-00	4 8834	3.0034	122 12 14	720.0100	011.0	
	reported (so time:) so time:) (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	reported run_11000 stime: 35492 ms stime: 35492 ms rs; rs; rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, s; rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, s; rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, s; rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs, rs,	spectal matter spectal set 1 time (model 20 m) 1 time (model 20 m) 3 time (model 20 m) 2.0 m, 100 m, 20 m, 20 m) 2 constraints with bilar 2.0 m, 20 m, 20 m, 20 m, 20 m) 2 constraints with bilar 0 m, 20 m,	Sector Sector Sector Sector 10 Compared by sequences Sector Sector Sector 11 Compared by sequences Sector Sector Sector Sector 11 Compared by sequences Sector Sector	opported m register. opported	upper Lat multiple is Upper Lat multiple is upper Lat multiple is Upper Lat multiple is <tr< td=""><td>specific results Specific results 10 reported by subject): bits at 11 reported by subject): bits at 12 reported by subject): bits at 13 reported by subject): bits at 14 reported by subject): bits at 15 reported by subject): bits at 16 reported by subject): bits at 17 report by subject): bits at 18 reported by subject): bits at 19 report by subject): bits at 10 report by subject): bits at 11 report by subject): bits at 12 report bits at 12 r</td><td>operation operation <thoperation< th=""> <thoperation< th=""> <tho< td=""><td>vegetel arm. (be: 1002146 a.6 1 tel: 2002 μ.7 1 tel:</td><td>septer la m_(1 ker: 1002/44 sc ther: 2002 μ 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 2 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 3 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 5 sc (m) (1 m (2 m</td><td>regental m. (16a): 1002146 as then: 15002 μ0 1 m. (16a): 1002146 by (16a)(16a): 1004 as 1 m. (16a): 1004 m</td><td>regencial analysis (164): 1002146 as then: 20002 μ2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>separate angle (law) 1002146 as then 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2</td><td>september 2007 μF 200</td><td>septed angle (lab) (NED)46 46 The 2007 H = 100 (lab) (N = 100 (lab) (lab) (N = 100 (lab) (lab)</td></tho<></thoperation<></thoperation<></td></tr<>	specific results Specific results 10 reported by subject): bits at 11 reported by subject): bits at 12 reported by subject): bits at 13 reported by subject): bits at 14 reported by subject): bits at 15 reported by subject): bits at 16 reported by subject): bits at 17 report by subject): bits at 18 reported by subject): bits at 19 report by subject): bits at 10 report by subject): bits at 11 report by subject): bits at 12 report bits at 12 r	operation operation <thoperation< th=""> <thoperation< th=""> <tho< td=""><td>vegetel arm. (be: 1002146 a.6 1 tel: 2002 μ.7 1 tel:</td><td>septer la m_(1 ker: 1002/44 sc ther: 2002 μ 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 2 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 3 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 5 sc (m) (1 m (2 m</td><td>regental m. (16a): 1002146 as then: 15002 μ0 1 m. (16a): 1002146 by (16a)(16a): 1004 as 1 m. (16a): 1004 m</td><td>regencial analysis (164): 1002146 as then: 20002 μ2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</td><td>separate angle (law) 1002146 as then 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2</td><td>september 2007 μF 200</td><td>septed angle (lab) (NED)46 46 The 2007 H = 100 (lab) (N = 100 (lab) (lab) (N = 100 (lab) (lab)</td></tho<></thoperation<></thoperation<>	vegetel arm. (be: 1002146 a.6 1 tel: 2002 μ.7 1 tel:	septer la m_(1 ker: 1002/44 sc ther: 2002 μ 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 1 m (2007 ker) s(m)(m); %(λ sc 2 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 3 contraints ath balance: 1010 μ 4020000001 5 sc (m) (1 m (2 m	regental m. (16a): 1002146 as then: 15002 μ0 1 m. (16a): 1002146 by (16a)(16a): 1004 as 1 m. (16a): 1004 m	regencial analysis (164): 1002146 as then: 20002 μ2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	separate angle (law) 1002146 as then 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2 μ2 μ2 μ2 1 as 20003 μ2	september 2007 μF 200	septed angle (lab) (NED)46 46 The 2007 H = 100 (lab) (N = 100 (lab) (lab) (N = 100 (lab)

time = 72.4 s constraint violated = 7.2% (52/720)

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Conclusions

Transforming the UC formulation to a QUBO problem revealed some criticalities:

- The range of values a variable can assume is very big.
- More comprehensive the formulation is the more complex the hyperparameter tuning is.
- Current Hardware cannot support a full quantum execution.

.

Conclusions

At the same time, we also found that:

- A QUBO formulation is feasible without special techniques.
- Hybrid solver performs slightly worse than classical simulated annealing in terms of accuracy but using a fraction of the time.
- We found that hyperparameters tuned on small problems work on bigger ones as well.

A B A A B A



Thank you.

-			
	2071	- ot - o	

æ

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう