



High Energy Physics:

fundamental physics w/ the CMS experiment at CERN

Speaker: Mia Tosi <u>mia.tosi@unipd.it</u> int 7064

Group: CMS Padova <u>cms-Padova@cern.ch</u> Site: <u>CMS @DFA</u> e <u>https://cms.infn.it/</u>

#### Martino Margoni Prof. Associato



Patrizia Azzi

Marco Zanetti Prof. Ordinario

Enrico Lusiani Rocco Ardino post-doc





Franco Simonetto Federica Fanzago Prof. Ordinario





Jacopo Pazzini

Paolo Checchia Emeritus



Pierluigi Bortignon Prof. Associato





Mia Tosi RTDb



Sandro Ventura

Tecnologo INFN



PhD student

Roberto Carlin Tommaso Dorigo Alberto Zucchetta Prof. Ordinario Ricercatore INFN Ricercatore INFN



Uao Gasparini





Andrea Triossi Prof. Associato



Gabriele Bortolato : PhD student Nicolo' Lai : PhD student Sabrina Giorgetti: PhD student Matteo Migliorini : PhD student Andrea Bulla : PhD student @UNICA

Nicola Bacchetta : ricercatore INFN Massimo Benettoni : tecnologo INFN Antonio Bergnoli: tecnologo INFN Marina Passaseo : tecnologa INFN Marco Bellato : tecnologo INFN

Anna Meneguzzo : Emeritus Dario Bisello : Emeritus Fabrizio Gasparini : Emeritus

**Roberto Rossin** Paolo Ronchese

Prof. Associato





- Advanced automatic event selection
- Advanced detector R&D

 our current description of fundamental phenomena is <u>incredibly accurate</u>, and it is based on the Standard Model of particle physics

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{Av} F^{Av} & \textcircled{0} & \textcircled{0} \\
\mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{Av} F^{Av} & \textcircled{0} & \textcircled{0} \\
+ i F \mathcal{J} + h.c \\
+ f \mathcal{J}_{ij} f_{j} \phi + h.c \\
+ f \mathcal{J}_{ij} f_{j} \phi + h.c \\
+ \left| D_{A} \phi \right|^{2} - \sqrt{\phi}
\end{aligned}$$



 our current description of fundamental phenomena is <u>incredibly accurate</u>, and it is based on the Standard Model of particle physics

#### but plenty of unanswered questions !



.. any many other questions are still open

 our current description of fundamental phenomena is <u>incredibly accurate</u>, and it is based on the Standard Model of particle physics

#### but plenty of unanswered questions !

best tool currently available to look for answers is
 the Large Hadron Collider at CERN





 our current description of fundamental phenomena is <u>incredibly accurate</u>, and it is based on the Standard Model of particle physics

#### but plenty of unanswered questions !

• best tool currently available to look for answers is the Large Hadron Collider at CERN

via the **CMS experiment** and its data

- detector to operate
- a new detector to build
- freshly recorded data to be understood and calibrated
- new technologies in the field of Big Data
- lots of exciting physics !



CMS is a unique opportunity for young physicists !



 our current description of fundamental phenomena is <u>incredibly accurate</u>, and it is based on the Standard Model of particle physics

#### but plenty of unanswered questions !

• best tool currently available to look for answers is the Large Hadron Collider at CERN

via the **CMS experiment** and its data

The CMS Padova group has consistently kept a leading role in the experiment w/ many position of responsibilities in detector construction, physics analyses, automatic event reconstruction and selection, and even providing a spokesperson !



CMS is a unique opportunity for young physicists !

## LHC

ATLAS

for observing the Higgs boson and new physics signals we need an accelerator which maximizes

LHCb.

- the collisions (centre-of-mass) energy
- the collisions rate



"You think you're pretty smart, don't you?"





LHC is the biggest and most powerful proton-proton collider in the world



#### CMS – Compact Muon Solenoid

#### multi-porpoise HEP detector



- hermetic
- large acceptance
- very strong magnetic field (3.8 T)
- very precise
  - particles trajectory measurement
- excellent electromagnetic energy resolution
- excellent muon reconstruction



#### What do we see ?



#### opportunities

12

energy and luminosity

- $13 \rightarrow 13.6$  TeV: higher mass reach
- additional 200 fb<sup>-1</sup> (x1.5 wrt Run2)



## Some examples : di-higgs

- the nature of the Higgs boson is still not completely understood and its potential is not completely known !
- quantum corrections may change the Higgs potential shape

Standard Model New physics  $\lambda_3 \neq \lambda_4$  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$ Stable Vhat we observe Metastable? so far Higgs field H Higgs field H  $\infty$  $\sim$ ⇒ search for the **double Higgs** production : direct access to Higgs self-coupling strength ( $\lambda$ ) 000 00 Higgs-fermion Yukawa coupling Higgs boson self-coupling - challenge : **CMS** Preliminary 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) very small SM cross sections  $\kappa_{\lambda} = \kappa_{t} = 1$ Observed Median expected  $\kappa_{v} = \kappa_{2v} = 1$ - opportunity : 68% expected 95% expected very large statistics (Run2+Run3) Nature 607 (2022) 60 Comb. of 🐥 + advanced analyses techniques Expected: 2.5 Observed: 3.4 10 100 1 1000 95% CL limit on  $\sigma$ (pp  $\rightarrow$  HH)/ $\sigma_{Theory}$ 

including quantum corrections to the Standard Model couplings, the vacuum might have a second minimum (metastable state)

## Some examples : H coupling

by measuring the Higgs boson decay rate to a particle, we infer the interaction strength between the Higgs field and that particle

 $\Rightarrow$  these measurements directly test the predicted mechanism

by which particles acquire mass

 $\Rightarrow$  observe the Higgs decay into muons :

is the next experimental frontier (so-far untested mass scale)

#### - challenge :

very rare SM process (1/5000 Higgs) very large SM background (S/B ~ 1/1000)

#### - opportunity :

very large statistics (Run2+Run3)
+ advanced analyses techniques





## Some examples : VBS (and VBF)

Trilinear and Quartic Gauge Couplings (TGC, QGC) precisely determined by SU(2) x U(1) gauge symmetry

- Neutral coupling forbidden.
- TGC:
  - VBF and VV production.
- QGC:
  - VBS and VVV production.



- production cross-section
- differential cross-section

#### ⇒ Vector Boson Scattering at 13.6 TeV:

is a key test of the SM and a possible means for highlighting new physics

- challenge :

very rare SM process complex event topologies

#### - opportunity :

new strategy for enhancing statistics *liaison w/ theory model* 

Aug 2023				CMS	Preliminary
CMS EW n	neasurements vs. 7	TeV CMS mea	asurement (stat,stat+	sys)	
Theory		TeV CMS mea	asurement (stat,stat+	sys)	<b>⊢</b> +•+-1
	1:	3 TeV CMS me	easurement (stat,stat	+sys)	<b>⊢</b> +•+-1
Wpp	<b>⊢</b> +•+ <mark>-1</mark>		$0.84 \pm 0.08 \pm 0$	.18	19.3 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqW	⊢ <mark>●⊣</mark>		$0.91 \pm 0.02 \pm 0$	.09 ;	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZ ⊦	— <b>— — — — — — —</b> — — — — — — — — — — —		$0.93\pm0.14\pm0$	.32	5.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZ	⊢-+ <b>●</b> + <mark></mark> +		$0.84\pm0.07\pm0$	.19	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZ	⊢+ <mark>●</mark> -1		$0.98\pm0.04\pm0$	.10 :	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>
WV	⊢ <b>●</b> – <mark> </mark>		$0.85\pm0.12\pm0$	.18	138 fb <sup>-1</sup>
γγ→WW	<b>⊢</b> •		$1.74 \pm 0.00 \pm 0$	.74	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqWγ	<b>⊢</b>		$1.77 \pm 0.67 \pm 0$	.56	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqWγ	⊢+- <mark>●</mark> -+-1		$0.89\pm0.11\pm0$	.15	138 fb <sup>-1</sup>
os WW	⊢ <mark>┽</mark> ╴●──┼┥		$1.12 \pm 0.15 \pm 0$	.17	138 fb <sup>-1</sup>
ss WW 🗝	• <u>+</u> +		$0.69\pm0.38\pm0$	.18	19.4 fb <sup>-1</sup>
ss WW	H + H		$1.20 \pm 0.11 \pm 0$	.08	137 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZγ	<b>⊢</b> →		$1.48 \pm 0.65 \pm 0$	.48	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZγ	<mark>⊢+ ● +</mark> +		$1.20 \pm 0.12 \pm 0$	.13	137 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqWZ	HH		$1.46 \pm 0.31 \pm 0$	.11	137 fb <sup>-1</sup>
qqZZ	H _ H		$1.19 \pm 0.38 \pm 0$	.13	137 fb <sup>-1</sup>
All results at: http://cern.ch/go/pNj7	1 Pro	oduction C	Cross Section	Ratio:	$\sigma_{exp} / \sigma_{theo}$

## Some examples : rare process

new heavy particles can affect some interactions and make them deviate from the Standard Model prediction

 $\Rightarrow$  production of a **top quark w/ a W boson and a Z boson** (tWZ)

sensitive to the presence of new particles

- challenge :

very low cross-section in the SM

- opportunity :

clean signature large statistics in Run3 liaison w/ theory model



# Tets





## Some examples : matter-antimatter puzzle

- the charge-conjugation and parity-reversal (CP) symmetry is a symmetry between matter and antimatter
  - $\rightarrow$  violation of this CP symmetry was first observed in 1964
  - → Sakharov proposed that CP violation is necessary to explain the observed imbalance of matter and antimatter in the Universe
    - but the amount of CP violation as predicted by the Standard Model as well as the observed so far in experiments is too small to explain the cosmic imbalance
    - ⇒ there is an as-yet-unknown sources of CP violation beyond the Standard Model

CP-violating phase in the  $B_s$  system ( $\phi_s$ ) is predicted in the Standard Model and the effects of new physics could change its value significantly



## Some examples : the future

The High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), due to start in 2029, will deliver about  $\mathbf{x10}$  data than has been accumulated so far

- ⇒ to exploit the HL-LHC physics potential, the CMS collaboration is building an optimised detector that pushes technologies to new heights
  - → sustain the increased luminosity (greater radiation damage and higher particle rates)
  - $\rightarrow$  new tracker
  - $\rightarrow$  new MIP precision timing detector (30 ps)
  - $\rightarrow$  almost all the existing electronics replaced

#### High granularity

the key to achieving the necessary HL-LHC performance

is to enhance the granularity of the detector

- ⇒ reduce the maximum occupancy per readout cell while considerably increasing the readout bandwidth and processing power of the trigger system
- $\Rightarrow$  full particle-flow reconstruction at the hardware-based trigger (at 40 MHz)
- ⇒ precision timing information, which contributes to the high-level-trigger, is exploited by highly optimised software mostly running on GPUs





**Speaker**: Mia Tosi Office n. 144 edificio Marzolo *Email <u>mia.tosi@unipd.it</u>* 

Group: CMS Padova cms-Padova@cern.ch