



A few Proposals for Precision Particle Physics



Speaker: prof. Franco Simonetto

Way to a discovery



- Blatant:
 - see a new particle



- Subtle:
 - Look for anomalies
 - Inconsistencies between theory prediction and measurement

CMS

gyromagnetic anomaly g-2

Tiny effects not expected by the theory

 $CP - violation in \overline{b} b mixing$





CMS The *e* gyromagnetic factor



- The electron magnetic moment is responsible for its spin precession in a magnetic field
- Gyromagnetic ratio:

$$\gamma_e = \frac{\mu}{S} = -\frac{e}{2m_e} g_e$$

Dirac theory (1928):

•
$$g_e = 2 \text{ (exact !)},$$

• $a_e = \frac{g_e - 2}{2} = 0$

2

Kush & Foley (1947):

• $a_e = (1.19 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}$

"anomalous magnetic factor"

CMS





The *e* gyromagnetic factor



- The electron magnetic moment is responsible for its spin precession in a magnetic field
- Gyromagnetic ratio:

•
$$\gamma_e = \frac{\mu}{S} = -\frac{e}{2m_e} g_e$$

- Dirac theory (1928):
 - $g_e = 2 \text{ (exact !)},$

$$\bullet \ a_e = \frac{g_e - 2}{2} = 0$$

- Kush & Foley (1947):
 - $a_e = (1.19 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}$
 - Nowadays:

 3×10^{-11} relative precision !

CMS

• $a_e = (1.15965218076(28)) \times 10^{-3}$

•
$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} = (137.035999150(33))^{-1}$$











 Very precise measurement through muon spin precession in a storage ring (BNL + Fermilab):

 4×10^{-8} relative precision !

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16592061(41) \times 10^{-3}$ (experiment)

Very precise calculation based on perturbative QED:

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16591810(43) \times 10^{-3}$ (theory)

Comparable and astounding precision !









Very precise measurement through muon spin precession in a storage ring (BNL + Fermilab): 4×10⁻⁸relative precision!

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16592061(41) \times 10^{-3}$ (experiment)

Very precise calculation based on perturbative QED:

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16591810(43) \times 10^{-3}$ (theory)



... but inconsistent results



 $a_{\mu}(ex.) - a_{\mu}(th) =$ (259 ± 51) × 10⁻¹¹ (4.2 σ !)







Very precise measurement through muon spin precession in a storage ring (BNL + Fermilab): 4×10⁻⁸relative precision!

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16592061(41) \times 10^{-3}$ (experiment)

Very precise calculation based on perturbative QED:

 $a_{\mu} = 1.16591810(43) \times 10^{-3}$ (theory)



... but inconsistent results



- An experimental mistake?
- Wrong calculations ?
- New physics lurking ?







- a_{μ} is computed with perturbation theory in the framework of QED
- Contributions from:
 - Photon exchange
 - Z exchange
 - Hadron exchange



FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams of representative SM contributions to the muon anomaly. From left to right: first-order QED and weak processes, leading-order hadronic (H) vacuum polarization and hadronic light-by-light contributions.











- a_{μ} is computed with perturbation theory in the framework of QED
- Contributions from:
 - Photon exchange
 - Z exchange
 - Hadron exchange



FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams of representative SM contributions to the muon anomaly. From left to right: first-order QED and weak processes, leading-order hadronic (H) vacuum polarization and hadronic light-by-light contributions.



- Are HADRONIC contributions reliable ?
- *µOnE* :
 - an experiment to help theory





The MuOnE proposal



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\mu^- e^- \to \mu^- e^-)}{dt}$$

$$\mu - t = (p_{\mu} - p'_{\mu})^2 = (p'_e - p_e)^2$$



- Electro-Weak contributions well known
- Hadronic contributions computed by subtraction

$$a_{\mu}^{HLO} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} dx (1-x) \Delta \alpha_{had}[t(x)]$$

- Δα: running coupling constant, to be measured by MuOnE
- $\Delta \alpha_{had}$: hadronic contribution, computed by subtraction of EW terms

$$t(x) = -\frac{x^2 m_{\mu}^2}{1 - x} \qquad \begin{array}{l} 0 \le -t < \infty \\ 0 \le x < 1 \end{array}$$





u

MuOnE: the mission



- Measure the running of α in the range $0 < |t| < 0.130 \ GeV^2$
- To be competitive, need $\sigma(a_{\mu}^{had}) \simeq 0.5 1 \%$
- $\blacksquare \frac{a_{\mu}^{had}}{a_{\mu}} \simeq 10^{-3} \rightarrow$

$$\frac{\sigma(\Delta \alpha)}{\Delta \alpha} \simeq 10^{-5}!$$

- Extreme precision is required:
 - Large number of collisions ($o(10^{10})^{\text{CMS}}$
 - Very refined control of systematic uncertainties





MuOnE: $e\mu \rightarrow e\mu$ kinematics



- Two body elastic scattering
- The kinematics is fully determined by measuring just two quantities
- MuOnE (proposal):
 - Send a high energy μ beam on a target of rest electrons
 - Measure the angles of the deflected muon (θ_{μ}) and electron (θ_{e})











- High intensity $(10^7 \mu s^{-1})$ 150-GeV muon beam from CERN SPS
- 40 tracking stations, each composed by a Be target and Si detectors
- An electromagnetic calorimeter at the end









- Precise determination of e and μ scattering angles
 - $\theta_{\mu} \simeq 1 5 mrad$,
 - $\theta_e \simeq 1 30 \ mrad$
- Resolution:
 - Multiple scattering $\sigma(\theta_e) \simeq 10^{-2} mrad$
 - \blacksquare Requires alignment with ~10 μm precision









The Calorimeter

- Focus of Padova group:
 - Dr. E.Conti (group leader)
 - Prof. P.Ronchese
 - Dr. Eng. Fabio Montecassiano
 - Dr. Enrico Lusiani
- Roles:
 - Solve ambiguities through particle identification
 - Selection of truly two-body events
 - Independent measurement of t

Particle ID to solve Ambiguities



- When $\theta_e \simeq \theta_\mu$ (and $E_e \simeq E_\mu$) the two particles cannot be distinguished exploiting kinematics
- In the Ecal the muon releases a mip signal (E<1 GeV), while the electron releases a large shower (E = 70-80 GeV)
- Association of the large shower to the e track solves the ambiguity (Sara Cesare thesis, based on fast simulation)







CMS



Calorimetric measurement of t



- The virtual photon mass can be measured from Calo alone:
 - $t = (p'_e p_e)^2 = -2 m_e (E_e m_e) = -2m_e (E_{CAL} m_e)$
- Preliminary studies show that this measurement is more precise than tracking (Sara Cesare thesis, fast simulation)







Calorimeter prototype



- Prototype array, 5x5 fully equipped PbWO4 crystals
 - Spare counters from CMS setup
 - Electronic board from Imperial College
- Intense testing campaign with test pulses and cosmic rays in LNL
- Brought to CERN in 2022 for tests on High Energy e and μ beams







Test beam experiments, past



- Five runs on beams already performed at CERN:
 - July 2022: standalone test on low energy electron beam
 - Oct 2022: test with a tracking station with high-energy electron and muon beams
 - June 2023: 3-week calibration test with medium to high energy electron beams
 - Sept 2023: Physics run with two tracking stations, aimed at a few % measurement of the cross section and running of α

LOTS OF DATA TO ANALYZE



CMS









CERN Nord Area (Prevessin) 150 GeV μ beam, 40 GeV e beam





Test beam experiments, future



New data taking on July 2024

Physics run with **three** tracking stations, aimed at a few % measurement of the cross section and running of α

LOTS OF DATA TO CMS HARVEST AND ANALYZE



CMS







Calorimeter studies, aka items for Bachelor & Master thesis

- Conclude the analysis of the data collected so far
 - Tracker-calo match algorithms
 - Cross section measurement
- Prepare for July CERN test beam
 - ... and take part to it (Master only)
- Analyze new test beam data



Lots of simulation study to move from prototype to full set up









Many thesis completed so far:











Many thesis completed so far:



... but there's plenty of things still to be done









- Small size HEP experiment, with a well-defined aim, in the biggest HEP lab of the world:
 - Know all your colleagues !
 - Connect with other Italian and foreign institutions
 - Good/excellent understanding of all the theoretical and experimental details (including those you are not directly involved in)
- Take part to a world-class measurement, bound to be reported in the textbooks of HEP
- A human friendly time span
 - ~ 5 years from design (now!) to completion
 - See results before retirement !
- You are offered the possibility to acquire many different skills:
 - Detector simulation programs
 - Data analysis
 - Hardware setup
- Work side by side with a friendly bunch of researchers











CPV in *bb* mixing

- 1964: Christenson & co observe $K_L \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay
 - CP violation is discovered
 - Tiny effect, o(permille)
- 1972: $Prob(K_L \rightarrow e^+\pi^-\nu_e) > Prob(K_L \rightarrow e^-\pi^+\nu_e)$
 - CP violation in discovered
 - Tiny effect, o(permille)



- 2001: BABAR and Belle observe B mesons to violate CP
 - First observation outside the Kaon systems
 - Noboy has yet observed CP violation in $B\overline{B}$ mixing !
 - Standard model expectation o(10⁻⁴) or less













- CMS detector at the LHC:
 - Record solenoidal magnetic field
 - Superb track reconstruction
 - Excellent muon reconstruction



- A lot of opportunities for CPV measurements with data on disk:
 - About $10^{10} b \rightarrow \mu \, \bar{\nu} X$ decays
 - $O(10^6) pp \rightarrow \overline{b}b X \rightarrow B^+(\mu^+\mu^- K^+) \mu Y$
 - $O(10^7) t \rightarrow \ell \nu \bar{b} decays$











Target:

• Measure $A_{cp} = \frac{\{N(BB) - N(\bar{B}\bar{B})\}}{N(BB) + N(\bar{B}\bar{B})}$ with permille or better precision

- Way
 - Tag one B by a final state muon
 - Tag the other by:
 - Another muon
 - A fully reconstructed B+
 - Semileptonic top decay
- Challenges. Control at permille level:
 - Tag asymmetries
 - Background
 - Biases



CMS

The crew:

- dr E. Lusiani
- prof. M.Margoni
- prof. P.Ronchese









- Basic competence in statistics and data analysis, as acquired in the LAB courses
- Basic competence with C++ programming, elementary knowledge of the ROOT-CERN analysis package
- Attitude to group work

... much more will be learned during the thesis work







CMS



Speaker:

prof. Franco Simonetto

Group Muone:

dr Enrico Conti dr Enrico Lusiani prof. Paolo Ronchese prof. Roberto Rossin dr. Eng. Fabio Montecassiano

Group CMS:

dr Enrico Lusiani prof. Paolo Ronchese prof. Martino Margoni

email:

name.surname@pd.infn.it



