# **Matt Reece, Harvard University String Pheno 2024**

**An Axion Cosmology Scenario**

# Introduction

# **Why Axions?**

#### **Bottom up model building:**

- QCD axion solves the Strong CP problem
- Misalignment mechanism produces cold dark matter

#### **Top down model building:**

- Axions as gauge fields for  $(-1)$ -form instanton number "symmetry"
- No free parameters in quantum gravity



• String constructions often predict axions

#### **General principles:**

# **Chern-Weil Global Symmetries**

e.g., in  $d > 4$  we have U(1)  $(d - 5)$ -form "instanton number symmetry":

- Gauge theories intrinsically have symmetries connected to characteristic classes,
	- lows from the nonabelian Bianchi  $intity$  $d_A F = 0.$ 
		-
		-

$$
\frac{1}{8\pi^2} d \left[ \text{tr}(F \wedge F) \right] = 0 \quad \text{for } \\ \text{ide}
$$

Quantum gravity: often **gauged** by Chern-Simons terms  $\int \frac{1}{8\pi^2} C_{d-4} \wedge \text{tr}(F \wedge F)$ . Sometimes **explicitly broken**, e.g., by monopoles. 1  $\sqrt{8\pi^2}C_{d-4}\wedge \text{tr}(F\wedge F)$ 

> w/ Ben Heidenreich, Jake McNamara, Miguel Montero, Tom Rudelius, Irene Valenzuela arXiv:2012.00009 [hep-th] 4



$$
dF=2\pi j_{\text{mon}}
$$

For instance, for U(1),

implies

$$
d(F \wedge F) \neq 0.
$$



# **Axions from Quantum Gravity Principles**

In  $d=4$  the story is a bit different:  $d \, \big[{\rm tr}(F\wedge F)\big]=0$  is trivial because this is a top form. Does a U(1) "( $-1$ )-form symmetry" have nontrivial meaning?

One definition: such a symmetry ⇒ theory admits **consistent coupling to a background axion**  $\theta(x)$ **.** 

> w/ Daniel Aloni, Eduardo García-Valdecasas, Motoo Suzuki arXiv:2402.00117 [hep-th] and work in progress

Again this can be **gauged** — coupled to a dynamical axion — or **broken**, e.g., by monopoles, for which the Witten effect obstructs the coupling to  $\theta(x)$ .

Then "no  $(-1)$ -form symmetry in QG" closely related to "**no free parameters** in QG."

Rich story about monopoles, dyon modes, etc.

see my recent symmetry seminar "Instanton Number as a Symmetry"





## **Axion Models at a Glance**

#### **Pseudo-Nambu-G for 4d U(1)PQ**

#### **"Pre-inflation" scenario**

#### **Post-inflation PQ transition**



Quality problem

Isocurvature proble

Quality problem

Domain wall proble

Stable relic probler



# **Post-Inflation Axion Cosmology**

Figure from **Ciaran O'Hare**'s lectures on axion cosmology, arXiv:2403.17697 [hep-ph] Using code from **Alejandro Vaquero, Javier Redondo, Julia Stadler**, arXiv:1809.09241

- **•** Axion randomized, strings form (Kibble-Zurek)
- **•** QCD phase transition: axion domain walls form
- **•** String-wall network destroys itself  $(N_{\rm DW} = 1)$

4d U(1) PQ symmetry spontaneously broken after inflation.

Axion dark matter relic abundance dominantly from axion emission from string network, as well as misalignment. Detailed simulations, e.g., Buschmann, Foster, Hook, Peterson, Willcox, Zhang, Safdi arXiv:2108.05368



Strings ( $T > T_{\text{osc}}$ )





# **Post-Inflation: Axion Domain Wall Problem**

w/ Qianshu Lu, Zhiquan Sun arXiv:2312.07650 [hep-ph]

Domain walls can end on strings if

$$
\int \frac{k_G}{8\pi^2} \theta \, tr(F \wedge F)
$$

has minimal coupling  $N_{\text{DW}} = |k_G| = 1$ .

But such strings may not form, or may not be elementary! Tension w/ models for quality problem



#### Composite string frustrated network





#### **Hard to find convincing models!**

 $z^3$ 



### **"Pre-Inflation": Axion Isocurvature Problem** A light scalar during inflation fluctuates by  $\delta \varphi \sim H_I/(2\pi)$ . Fluctuations independent of inflaton fluctuations  $\Rightarrow$  *isocurvature*, strongly constrained.



Figure from **Ciaran O'Hare**'s lectures on axion cosmology, arXiv:2403.17697 [hep-ph]

Leads to a bound

which is much stronger than the observational bound from (lack of) tensor modes,

$$
H_I \lesssim 3 \times 10^7 \,\text{GeV} \,\frac{f_I}{10^{12} \,\text{GeV}}
$$

$$
H_I \lesssim 10^{13} \,\mathrm{GeV}.
$$

Is a bound a **problem**? Not a sharp one, but the simplest and most natural inflation models are large-field (hence high-scale).

## **Solutions to the Axion Isocurvature Problem**

of  $H_I$  for a given axion decay constant. Broadly,

- Turn on larger  $|\Phi|^2$  term during inflation  $-$  back to post-inflation. 2
- **Dynamical axion mass**, heavier than  $H_I$  during inflation, e.g., make QCD very strongly coupled so  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/\!f$  is not small. (Dvali '95, ...)

Several ideas have been discussed in the literature for opening up a wider range

• Dynamical axion decay constant,  $f_I \gg f_a$  to relax bound (Linde/Lyth '90, ...) String pheno: time-varying modulus can lead to both of the last two. **Rest of this talk:** a new variation on dynamical axion mass.

[Awkward to continuously change *exponentially tiny* number to O(1)!]



# Eliminating Axion Isocurvature: A New Approach

w/ Prish Chakraborty, Junyi Cheng, Zekai Wang expected to appear on arxiv this summer



### **Monodromy Mass vs. Isocurvature**

An axion  $\theta \cong \theta + 2\pi$  can get a large ("monodromy") mass from a Chern-Simons coupling to a 4-form field strength  $F^{(4)} = dC^{(3)}$ :  $F^{(4)} = dC^{(3)}$ 

$$
S = \int -\frac{1}{2}f^2 |d\theta|^2 - \frac{1}{2g^2} |F^{(4)}|^2 + \frac{n}{2\pi}\theta F^{(4)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

[Kallosh, Linde, Linde, Susskind '95; Gabadadze '99; Silverstein, Westphal '08; Kaloper, Sorbo '08; ….]

#### Axion mass:

If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a *dynamical integer*, it could be nonzero during inflation (heavy axion, no isocurvature) and zero today (standard axion).

#### **Main idea:**

Change between them with a *first-order phase transition*.

$$
m_{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{n}{2\pi} \frac{g}{f}
$$

### **Avoiding confusion**  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  $\overline{2}^f$  $\left| d\theta\right|^2 - \frac{1}{2}$

The monodromy potential  $V(\theta)$  has infinitely many branches labeled by an integer  $j = \frac{1}{2} \star F^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2} \theta$ , and a gauge invariance 1  $e_4^2$  $\frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\star$   $F^{(4)} - \frac{n}{2}$ 2*π θ*,  $\theta \mapsto \theta + 2\pi, j \mapsto j - n$ .

, the  $C^{(3)}$  electric field, is always dynamical. It is not the dynamical integer  $n$  that we wish to change in cosmology.  $j$ , the  $C^{(3)}$ 







# **Making** *n* **Dynamical**

Idea: the integer  $n$  is flux of higher-dim

Extra-dimensional axion  $\theta = \int_{\Lambda^{(p)}}$  $C^{(p)}$ 

Chern-Simons in  $n = p + q + s + 1$  extra dims:

$$
\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M^{(4)} \times Y^{(n)}} C^{(p)} \wedge dA^{(q)} \wedge C
$$

$$
\int_{M_4} \frac{n}{2\pi} \theta F^{(4)}
$$

nensional gauge field, 
$$
n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma^{(q+1)}} dA^{(q)}
$$

 $C^{(3+s)}$ 



# **Flux Tunneling**

#### Our tunneling process must change th



This can only happen by nucleating a dynamical **magnetically charged brane** for  $A^{(q)}$ . This has  $4 + n - q = 3 + r + s$  dimensions. Wrapping the internal dimensions transverse to  $\Sigma^{(q+1)}$ , we have a **domain wall** in (3+1)d. *A*(*q*)  $r+s$  internal dimensions transverse to  $\Sigma^{(q+1)}$ 

$$
\text{ne flux } n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma^{(q+1)}} \mathrm{d}A^{(q)}.
$$

(see, e.g., Blanco-Pillado, Schwartz-Perlov, Vilenkin '09, but details differ — we do *not* want a Freund-Rubin compactification, our flux is through a cycle in a larger geometry)

## **Bubble Mergers**



branes to reconnect and the  $n\neq 0$  regions to collapse.



Provided the  $n = 0$  state has lowest vacuum energy, we expect colliding

# **Flux Tunneling at the End of Inflation?**

Need to suppress isocurvature:  $|n| > 0$  during inflation. Drops to 0 after.

- Vacuum energy contribution  $\mathit{V}(n)$  from flux energy density: could that provide the energy driving inflation?
- "Graceful exit" problem of old inflation: need to make bubble nucleation rate time-dependent.  $\Gamma(t) < H(t)^4$  until some critical time  $t_*.$ Scenarios: \*
- Inflaton  $\phi$  affects  $\Gamma(t)$ , e.g., brane tension  $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$  dynamical.
- Tunneling as inflation is ending,  $H(t)$  starts to drop rapidly.

$$
S = \int -\frac{Z_n}{2} |d\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} f_n(\phi)^2 |d\theta|^2 - \frac{1}{2g_n(\phi)^2} |F^{(4)}|^2 + \frac{n}{2\pi} \theta F^{(4)} + V(\phi, n) + h(\phi) \mathcal{T} \delta^{(2)}(M)
$$

# **String Theory Embedding?**

All the *ingredients* exist in string theory, e.g.:

axion mass from  $C^{(3)} \wedge dC^{(3)} \wedge H^{(3)}$ .  $C^{(3)} \wedge dC^{(3)} \wedge H^{(3)}$ 

The bubble wall is an NS5 brane wrapped on  $\beta.$ 

**Inside the wall:** D6's wrapped on  $\beta$  for realizing Standard Model. **Outside the wall:** obstructed by  $H^{(3)}$  flux on  $\beta$ .  $H^{(3)}$  flux on  $\beta$ 

Type IIA model with D6 branes, 
$$
\theta = \int_{\alpha} C^{(3)}
$$
, dynamical integer  $n = \int_{\beta} H^{(3)}$ ,

**Dynamical emergence of chirality after inflation?** (Potential implications for baryogenesis, Festina Lente bound, ….)

### **Conclusions**

- Axions play an important role in quantum gravity.
- Conventional 4d QCD axion models face serious cosmological challenges.
- Extra-dimensional axions primarily face the axion isocurvature problem: difficult to combine with high-scale inflation.
- Possible scenario: time-dependent moduli fields after inflation change the value of the decay constant.
- **Novel scenario:** first-order phase transition from large tree-level axion mass during inflation to zero mass afterward. Implications for reheating, gravitational waves, and more. Can we find a realistic version of this scenario?